# Cavanaugh Macdonald CONSULTING, LLC 

The experience and dedication you deserve


State of Mississippi Retirement Systems
Experience Investigation for the
Four-Year Period
Ending June 30, 2012


# Cavanaugh Macdonald <br> C ONSULTING, LLC <br> The experience and dedication you deserve 

June 12, 2013

The Board of Trustees
Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi
429 Mississippi Street
Jackson, MS 39201

Members of the Board:

We are pleased to submit the results of an investigation of the economic and demographic experience for the Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS), the Highway Safety Patrol Retirement System (HSPRS), the Supplemental Legislative Retirement Plan (SLRP) and the Municipal Retirement Systems (MRS). The purpose of the investigation was to assess the reasonability of the PERS economic assumptions and demographic actuarial assumptions for each Retirement System. This investigation covers the four-year period from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2012. As a result of the investigation, it is recommended that revised demographic tables be adopted by the Board for future use.

The investigation of the demographic experience of members of each System includes all active and retired members as well as beneficiaries of deceased members. The experience was investigated separately for males and females since different tables are used for each of these groups.

The number of members expected to separate from active service and the expected number of post-retirement deaths was obtained by use of the rates determined in the last experience investigation and adopted by the Board of Trustees in April, 2011. The results of the investigation indicate that the assumed rates of separation from active service due to withdrawal, disability, death and retirement, and rates of salary increase and post-retirement mortality do not accurately reflect the actual and anticipated experience of the Retirement System. As a result of the investigation, new withdrawal, salary, disability, retirement and mortality tables have been developed which reflect more closely the actual experience of the membership.

This report shows a comparison of the actual and expected cases of separation from active service, actual and expected number of deaths, and actual and expected salary increases. These tables are shown based on current assumed expected rates and based on new proposed expected rates. A comparison between the rates of separation and mortality presently in use and the recommended revised rates are also shown in this report.
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All rates of separation, mortality and salary increase at each age for each system are shown in the attached tables in Appendix D of this report. In the actuary's judgment, the rates recommended are suitable for use until further experience indicates that modifications are desirable.

The experience investigation was performed by, and under the supervision of, independent actuaries who are members of the American Academy of Actuaries with experience in performing valuations for public retirement systems. The undersigned meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Respectfully submitted,


Thomas J. Cavanaugh, FSA, EA, FCA, MAAA Chief Executive Officer


Jonathan T. Craven, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA
Senior Actuary
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## Section I

 Executive SummaryThe following summarizes the findings and recommendations with regard to the economic and demographic assumptions utilized for the State of Mississippi Retirement Systems. Detailed explanations for the recommendations are found in the sections that follow.

## Economic Assumption Changes

The table below lists the three economic assumptions used in the actuarial valuations and their current and proposed rates.

| Item | Current | Proposed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Price Inflation | $3.50 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ |
| Investment Return* | $8.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |
| Wage Inflation | $4.25 \%$ | $4.25 \%$ |

* current assumption is net of investment and administrative expenses and proposed assumption is net of investment expenses only.


## Recommended Demographic Assumption Changes

The table below lists, for each System, the demographic assumptions that should be changed based on the experience of the last four years.

| System | Assumption Changes |
| :---: | :---: |
| PERS | Withdrawal, Pre-Retirement Mortality, Disability Retirement, Retirement, <br> Post-Retirement Mortality, Salary Scale |
| HSPRS | Post-Retirement Mortality, Salary Scale |
| SLRP | Withdrawal, Post-Retirement Mortality, Salary Scale |
| MRS | Post-Retirement Mortality |

## Financial Impact

The following table highlights the impact of the recommended changes on the unfunded accrued liabilities (UAL) and employer contribution rates for each System.

## Change in Unfunded Accrued Liability

(\$ in Thousands)

| System | Before Changes | After Changes |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| PERS | $\$ 14,500,076$ | $\$ 14,270,891$ |
| HSPRS | 152,991 | 154,088 |
| SLRP | 6,269 | 6,106 |
| MRS | 201,087 | 200,350 |

Change in Funding Ratio

| System | Before Changes | After Changes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PERS | $58.0 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ |
| HSPRS | $63.7 \%$ | $63.5 \%$ |
| SLRP | $67.9 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| MRS | $43.6 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ |

Change in Employer Annual Required Contribution*

| System | Before Changes | After Changes** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PERS | $15.83 \%$ | $15.38 \%$ |
| HSPRS | $39.49 \%$ | $39.48 \%$ |
| SLRP | $7.75 \%$ | $7.68 \%$ |
| MRS | N/A | N/A |

* Amortization period kept at 30 years for all Systems.
** Estimated budgeted administrative expenses of $0.23 \%$ for all Systems are included in the normal cost of the annual required contribution rates.


## Section II

## Economic Assumptions

There are three economic assumptions used in the actuarial valuations performed for PERS. The same assumptions are used in all four valuations. They are:

- Price Inflation
- Investment Return
- Wage Inflation

The Actuarial Standards Board has issued Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP) No. 27, "Selection of Economic Assumptions for Measuring Pension Obligations", which provides guidance to actuaries in selecting economic assumptions for measuring obligations under defined benefit plans. As noted in ASOP No. 27, because no one knows what the future holds, the best an actuary can do is to use professional judgment to estimate possible future economic outcomes based on a mixture of past experience and future expectations. These estimates therefore are best stated as a range utilizing the actuary's professional judgment. In setting the range and the single point within that range to use, the actuary should consider a number of factors, including the purpose and nature of the measurement, and appropriate recent and long-term historical economic data. However, the standard explicitly advises the actuary not to give undue weight to recent experience.

Each economic assumption should individually satisfy this standard. Furthermore, with respect to any particular valuation, each economic assumption should be consistent with every other economic assumption over the measurement period.

In our opinion, the economic assumptions recommended in this report have been developed in accordance with ASOP No. 27. The following table shows our recommendation followed by detailed discussions of each assumption.

| Item | Current | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Price Inflation | $3.50 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ |
| Real Rate of Return* | $\underline{4.50}$ | $\underline{4.50}$ |
| Investment Return | $8.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |
| Price Inflation |  |  |
| Real Wage Growth | $\underline{0.75}$ | $3.50 \%$ |
| Wage Inflation | $4.25 \%$ | $\underline{0.75}$ |

[^0]
## Price Inflation

Background: As can be seen from the table on the previous page, assumed price inflation is used as the basis for both the investment return assumption and the wage inflation assumption. These latter two assumptions will be discussed in detail in the following sections.

It is important that the price inflation assumption be consistently applied throughout the economic assumptions utilized in an actuarial valuation. This is called for in ASOP No. 27 and is also required to meet the parameters for determining pension liabilities and expense under Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 25 and 27.

The current price inflation assumption is $3.50 \%$ per year.

Past Experience: The Consumer Price Index, US City Average, All Urban Consumers, CPI (U), has been used as the basis for reviewing historical levels of price inflation. The table below provides historical annualized rates and annual standard deviation of the CPI-U over periods ending June 30th.

| Period | Number of <br> Years | Annualized Rate <br> of Inflation | Annual <br> Standard <br> Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1926-2012$ | 86 | $3.00 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ |
| $1952-2012$ | 60 | 3.66 | 2.91 |
| $1962-2012$ | 50 | 4.14 | 2.92 |
| $1972-2012$ | 40 | 4.36 | 3.14 |
| $1982-2012$ | 30 | 2.91 | 1.39 |
| $1992-2012$ | 20 | 2.49 | 1.37 |
| $2002-2012$ | 10 | 2.46 | 1.82 |

The following graph illustrates the historical levels of price inflation measured as of June 30th of each of the last 50 years and compared to the current $3.50 \%$ annual rate currently assumed.


Over shorter historical periods, the average annual rate of increase in the CPI-U has been below $3.00 \%$. The period of high inflation from 1973 to 1982 has a significant impact on the averages over periods which include these rates. Further, the average rate of $3.00 \%$ over the entire 86 year period is close to the average rate of $2.91 \%$ for the prior 30 years (1982 to 2012) but the volatility of the annual rates in the more recent years has been markedly lower as indicated by the significantly lower annual standard deviations. Many experts attribute the lower average annual rates and lower volatility to the increased efforts of the Federal Reserve since the early 1980's to stabilize price inflation. As the Fed's efforts to promote stability in price inflation are expected to continue, we give greater weight to the 30 -year historical period in our analysis.

Additional information to consider in formulating this assumption is obtained from measuring the spread on Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS) and from the prevailing economic forecasts. The spread between the nominal yield on treasury securities (bonds) and the inflation indexed yield on TIPS of the same maturity is referred to as the "breakeven rate of inflation" and represents the bond market's expectation of inflation over the period to maturity. The table below provides the calculation of the breakeven rate of inflation as of June 30, 2012.

| Years to <br> Maturity | Nominal Bond <br> Yield | TIPS Yield | Breakeven Rate of <br> Inflation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $1.67 \%$ | $-0.46 \%$ | $2.13 \%$ |
| 20 | 2.38 | 0.15 | 2.23 |
| 30 | $\mathbf{2 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ |

The bond market's expectation for the rate of inflation over the next 30 years is $2.20 \%$ which is lower than the long term historical average rate. Additionally, based upon information contained in the "Survey of Professional Forecasters" for the second quarter of 2012 as published by the Philadelphia Federal Reserve Bank, the mean expected annual rate of inflation for the ten years beginning July 1, 2012 is $2.48 \%$. Although 10 years of future expectation is too short of a period for the basis of our inflation assumption, the information does provide additional evidence that the consensus expectations of these experts are for significantly lower rates of inflation than the historical average for the near term future.

Recommendation: It is difficult to accurately predict inflation. Inflation's short-term volatility is illustrated by comparing its average rate over the last 10,30 and 50 years. The validity of PERS' assumption is, therefore, dependent upon the emphasis one assigns to the short and longterms. Current economic forecasts and the bond market suggest lower inflation over the next ten to thirty years which is a shorter time period than appropriate for our purposes. In the 2012 OASDI Trustees Report, the Chief Actuary for Social Security bases the 75 year cost projections on an intermediate inflation assumption of $2.8 \%$ with a range of $1.8 \%$ to $3.8 \%$. We concur in general with a range of $2.0 \%-4.0 \%$, however we recognize the likely inflation pressures that are built into the economy at the current time. Therefore, we recommend that PERS remain at the current price inflation assumption of $3.50 \%$.

| Price Inflation Assumption |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current | $3.50 \%$ |
| Reasonable Range | $2.00 \%-4.00 \%$ |
| Recommended | $3.50 \%$ |

## Investment Return

Background: The assumed investment return is one of the most significant assumptions in the annual actuarial valuation process as it is used to discount the expected benefit payments for all active, inactive and retired members. Minor changes in this assumption can have a major impact on valuation results. The investment return assumption should reflect the asset allocation target for the funds set by the Board of Trustees.

The current assumption is $8.00 \%$, consisting of a price inflation assumption of $3.50 \%$ and a real rate of return assumption of $4.50 \%$.

Administrative and Investment Expenses: The current investment return is assumed to be net of administrative and investment expenses. All returns provided by the investment consultants shown below are net of investment expenses. In addition, recent Governmental Accounting Standards Board changes in accounting and reporting will require the use of an investment assumption that is net of investment expenses only. We therefore recommend changing the investment return assumption to be net of investment expenses only, with administrative expenses being recognized by an additional amount added to the normal cost contribution rate for all divisions and funds. That amount is estimated as $0.23 \%$ of payroll for all Systems.

Past Experience: The assets for PERS are valued using a widely accepted asset-smoothing methodology that fully recognizes the expected investment income and also recognizes $20 \%$ of each year's investment gain or loss (the difference between actual and expected investment income). The recent experience over the last seven years is shown in the table below.

| Year <br> Ending <br> $\mathbf{6 / 3 0}$ | Actuarial Value | Market Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | $7.14 \%$ | $(8.15) \%$ |
| 2009 | $(10.93)$ | $(19.51)$ |
| 2010 | 0.20 | 14.43 |
| 2011 | 3.71 | 25.17 |
| 2012 | 1.60 | 0.23 |
| Average | $0.15 \%$ | $1.20 \%$ |

Historical returns over such a short time period are not credible for the purpose of setting the long-term assumed future rate of return. In determining the reasonable range for this assumption, we first look at long-term historical returns of broad market indices. We focus on the returns of stocks and high-quality bonds because they are two major asset classes of typical allocations and have significant amounts of associated historical data.

Historical Analysis: Utilizing the historical real rates of return of the S\&P 500 and the Intermediate Government Bond Index for the last 85 years and as contained in the latest data from Ibbotson, we determine the historical compound average annual rate of return of common asset allocations of large retirement funds ( $40 \%$ stocks $/ 60 \%$ bonds to $70 \%$ stocks $/ 30 \%$ bonds). On this basis the initial reasonable range for expected real rates of return is from $4.55 \%$ to $5.77 \%$. We then add the historical inflation rate of $3.00 \%$ to the reasonable range of real returns. This yields an initial reasonable range for the long-term investment rate of return assumption of $7.55 \%$ to $8.77 \%$ based upon historical returns of the broad market indices under common allocations of stocks and bonds.

We next include in our analysis information concerning the future expectation for this assumption. In assessing the future expectation of investment returns, we prefer to analyze the capital market assumptions of the investment professionals assisting the Board in determining its investment policies and asset allocations. This approach is referred to as the building block method in ASOP No. 27.

Future Expectation Analysis: The current capital market assumptions as provided by the Board's investment consultant and the target asset allocation as provided by PERS staff are shown in Appendix B. The geometric real rates of return are net of investment expenses. We further assumed that investment returns approximately follow a lognormal distribution with no correlation between years. The results below provide an expected range of real rates of return over a 50 year time horizon. Looking at one year results produces an expected real return of $5.70 \%$ but also has a high standard deviation or measurement of volatility. By expanding the time horizon, the average return does not change much but the volatility declines significantly. The following table provides a summary of results.

| Time <br> Span In <br> Years | Mean <br> Real <br> Return | Standard <br> Deviation | $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}^{\text {th }}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}^{\text {th }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $5.70 \%$ |  | $(17.82) \%$ | $(5.27) \%$ | $4.57 \%$ | $15.44 \%$ | $33.08 \%$ |
| 5 | 4.80 | 6.88 | $(6.11)$ | 0.05 | 4.57 | 9.30 | 16.48 |
| 10 | 4.69 | 4.85 | $(3.10)$ | 1.36 | 4.57 | 7.89 | 12.86 |
| 20 | 4.63 | 3.43 | $(0.91)$ | 2.29 | 4.57 | 6.91 | 10.37 |
| 30 | 4.61 | 2.80 | 0.07 | 2.70 | 4.57 | 6.48 | 9.28 |
| 40 | 4.60 | 2.42 | 0.66 | 2.95 | 4.57 | 6.22 | 8.64 |
| 50 | 4.60 | 2.17 | 1.07 | 3.12 | 4.57 | 6.05 | 8.20 |

Based on this analysis, there is $50 \%$ likelihood that the average real rate of return over a 50 -year period will be $4.57 \%$. It can also be inferred that for the 10 year time span, $5 \%$ of the resulting real rates of return will be below $-3.10 \%$ and $95 \%$ were above that. As the time span increases, the results begin to merge. Over a 50 year time span, the results indicate there will be a $25 \%$ chance that real returns will be below $3.12 \%$ and a $25 \%$ chance they will be above $6.05 \%$. In other words, there is a $50 \%$ chance the real returns will be between $3.12 \%$ and $6.05 \%$.

Recommendation: Using the building block approach of ASOP No. 27 and the projection results outlined above, we are recommending a range for the investment return assumption of the $25^{\text {th }}$ to $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile real returns over the 50 year time span plus the recommended inflation assumption. The following table details the range.

| Item | $\mathbf{2 5}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | $\mathbf{5 0}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | $\mathbf{7 5}^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Real Rate of Return | $3.12 \%$ | $4.57 \%$ | $6.05 \%$ |
| Inflation | $\underline{3.50}$ | $\underline{3.50}$ | $\underline{3.50}$ |
| Net Investment Return | $6.62 \%$ | $8.07 \%$ | $9.55 \%$ |

Review of the Public Fund Survey finds that as of the December 2011 update to the fiscal year 2010 results, $8.00 \%$ remains the median rate for this assumption. From the table above, an $8.00 \%$ average annual return over the 50 year period ranks at $49^{\text {th }}$ percentile. In other words, there is approximately $51 \%$ likelihood that the long term average rate of return will be at least $8.00 \%$. However, review of the latest survey results with historical results shows a clear shift in this assumption to lower assumed rates of return since the fiscal year 2001 survey as shown in the chart below:


It is important to note that capital market assumptions vary significantly from consultant to consultant and from year to year. Further, a consultant's long-term assumptions may vary significantly from the same consultant's short-term assumptions for the same effective date. In order to give PERS a more in depth review of the capital market assumptions, we reviewed several other investment consultant assumptions using PERS' target asset allocation. Similar to PERS' investment consultant, Callan Associates, these firms use forward looking adjustments to better reflect near-term expectations. The capital market assumptions for each of these other firms are based on a 10-year time horizon and were summarized as mean real rates of return, net of investment expenses.

The following table provides a summary of the $25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}$ and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentiles of the average of the expected real rates of return using a 50 year time horizon.

| Investment <br> Consultant | Real Rates of Return |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25th | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}^{\text {th }}$ |
| 1 | $4.04 \%$ | $5.43 \%$ | $6.83 \%$ |
| 2 | $3.14 \%$ | $4.64 \%$ | $6.15 \%$ |
| 3 | $3.83 \%$ | $5.05 \%$ | $6.29 \%$ |
| 4 | $3.38 \%$ | $4.54 \%$ | $5.73 \%$ |
| 5 | $4.69 \%$ | $6.07 \%$ | $7.48 \%$ |
| 6 | $4.03 \%$ | $5.42 \%$ | $6.82 \%$ |
| Callan | $3.12 \%$ | $4.57 \%$ | $6.05 \%$ |
| Average | $\mathbf{3 . 7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4 8 \%}$ |

For the six investment consultants and Callan, the average real rate of return ranges from 3.75\% to $6.48 \%$. Adding a $3.50 \%$ price inflation assumption to these numbers, there is a $50 \%$ probability that the net investment return will be between $7.25 \%$ and $9.98 \%$ and the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile is $8.60 \%$. PERS' current assumption of $8.00 \%$ is at the 39th percentile, meaning that of these seven firms, about $61 \%$ of all expected outcomes will result in an average return of greater than $8.00 \%$ over a 50 -year time horizon.

Using this additional information from these other highly respected investment consultants with the information from PERS' current investment consultant, we are comfortable that the Board can keep the investment return assumption at $8.00 \%$ and feel that there is a good probability of meeting that return over a 50 -year time horizon. We, therefore, recommend that a long-term net investment return assumption be kept at $8.00 \%$.

| Investment Return Assumption |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Current | Recommended |
| Real Rate of Return* | $4.50 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ |
| Inflation | $\underline{3.50}$ | $\underline{3.50}$ |
| Net Investment Return | $8.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |
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## Wage Inflation

Background: The assumed future increases in salaries consist of an inflation component and a component for promotion and longevity, often called merit increases. The latter are generally age and or service related, and will be dealt with in the demographic assumption section of the report. Wage inflation normally is greater than price inflation as a reflection of the overall return on labor in the economy. The rate of wage inflation above inflation is called the real rate of wage inflation and is the focus of our analysis.

The current wage inflation assumption is $4.25 \%$, and is composed of a $3.50 \%$ rate of inflation assumption and a $0.75 \%$ real rate of wage inflation.

Past Experience: The Social Security Administration publishes data on wage growth in the United States. Appendix C shows the last 50 calendar years' data. As with our analysis of inflation, we provide below wage inflation and a comparison with price inflation over various time periods. Currently, this wage data is only available through calendar year 2011. We remove the rate of price inflation for each year from the data to result in the historical real rate of wage inflation.

| Period | Wage Inflation | Price Inflation | Real Wage Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2001-2011$ | $2.70 \%$ | $2.48 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ |
| $1991-2001$ | $4.20 \%$ | $2.51 \%$ | $1.69 \%$ |
| $1981-1991$ | $4.70 \%$ | $3.91 \%$ | $0.79 \%$ |
| $1971-1981$ | $7.80 \%$ | $8.62 \%$ | $(0.82) \%$ |
| $1961-1971$ | $4.75 \%$ | $3.20 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ |
| $1991-2011$ | $3.45 \%$ |  |  |
| $1981-2011$ | $3.87 \%$ | $2.49 \%$ | $0.96 \%$ |
| $1971-2011$ | $4.84 \%$ | $2.96 \%$ | $0.91 \%$ |
| $1961-2011$ | $4.82 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ | $0.49 \%$ |

Thus over the last 50 years, annual real wage growth has averaged $0.70 \%$.

## Annual Real Rates of Wage Growth



As the analysis of the national wage growth data shows, the shorter-term historical average real rate ( $0.22 \%$ for latest 10 year period) is significantly lower than the longer-term average real rates. The rate of real wage inflation over the prior 20 and 30 year periods is $0.96 \%$ and $0.91 \%$ respectively. Over the longer term, 50 years, the rate is $0.70 \%$ but this period is impacted by the high inflation experienced over the period between 1970 and 1980. Similarly to our discussion of the inflation assumption, we prefer to emphasize the analysis based on post-1980 data in anticipation of the continuation of the Federal Reserves' proactive stance on stabilizing inflation.

Recommendation: As with price inflation, we again look at the 2012 OASDI Trustees Report. The Chief Actuary for Social Security bases the 75 year cost projections on an ultimate national wage growth assumption $1.12 \%$ greater than the price inflation assumption of $2.80 \%$. We concur in general with a range of $0.5 \%$ to $1.5 \%$, and recommend continued use of a $0.75 \%$ per year rate at the current time.

## Wage Inflation Assumption

| Current | $4.25 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Reasonable | Range |
| Real Wage Growth | $0.50 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ |
| Inflation | $\underline{3.50}$ | $\underline{3.50}$ |
| Total | $4.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| Recommended | $4.25 \%$ |  |

## Section II

## Demographic Assumptions

There are several demographic assumptions used in the actuarial valuations performed for Mississippi. They are:

- Rates of Withdrawal
- Pre-retirement Mortality
- Rates of Disability Retirement
- Rates of Service Retirement
- Post-retirement Mortality
- Rates of Salary Increase

The Actuarial Standards Board has issued Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP) No. 35, "Selection of Demographic and Other Noneconomic Assumptions for Measuring Pension Obligations", which provides guidance to actuaries in selecting demographic assumptions for measuring obligations under defined benefit plans. In our opinion, the demographic assumptions recommended in this report have been developed in accordance with ASOP No. 35.

The purpose of a study of demographic experience is to compare what actually happened to the membership during the study period (July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2012) with what was expected to happen based on the assumptions used in the most recent Actuarial Valuations.

Detailed tabulations by age, service and/or gender are performed over the entire study period. These tabulations look at all active and retired members during the period as well as separately annotating those who experience a demographic event, also referred to as a decrement. In addition the tabulation of all members together with the current assumptions permits the calculation of the number of expected decrements during the study period.

If the actual experience differs significantly from the overall expected results, or if the pattern of actual decrements, or rates of decrement, by age, gender, or service does not follow the expected pattern, new assumptions are recommended. Recommended changes usually do not follow the exact actual experience during the observation period. Judgment is required to extrapolate future experience from past trends and current member behavior. In addition non-recurring events, such as early retirement windows, need to be taken into account in determining the weight to give to recent experience.

The remainder of this section presents the results of the demographic study. We have prepared tables that show a comparison of the actual and expected decrements and the overall ratio of actual to expected results ( $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{E}$ Ratios) under the current assumptions. If a change is being proposed, the revised A/E Ratios are shown as well. Salary adjustments, other than the economic assumption for wage inflation discussed in the previous section, are treated as demographic assumptions.

## PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## RATES OF WITHDRAWAL

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED WITHDRAWALS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { CENTRAL } \\ \text { AGE OF } \\ \text { GROUP } \end{gathered}$ | NUMBER OF WITHDRAWALS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | Withdrawals with more than 2 years of service |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 146 | 142 | 1.028 | 174 | 113 | 1.540 |
| 25 | 1,430 | 1,396 | 1.024 | 2,453 | 2,246 | 1.092 |
| 30 | 2,051 | 2,118 | 0.968 | 3,951 | 3,997 | 0.988 |
| 35 | 1,639 | 1,826 | 0.898 | 3,299 | 3,316 | 0.995 |
| 40 | 1,526 | 1,626 | 0.938 | 3,084 | 3,000 | 1.028 |
| 45 | 1,378 | 1,459 | 0.944 | 2,549 | 2,577 | 0.989 |
| 50 | 1,239 | 1,337 | 0.927 | 2,521 | 2,495 | 1.010 |
| 53 \& over | 1,983 | 1,834 | 1.081 | 3,205 | 3,018 | 1.062 |
| TOTAL | 11,392 | 11,738 | 0.971 | 21,236 | 20,762 | 1.023 |

The following graphs show a comparison of the present, actual and proposed rates of withdrawal for withdrawals with more than 2 years of service.

## PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## RATES OF WITHDRAWAL FOR ACTIVE MEMBERS WITH MORE THAN 2 YEARS OF SERVICE




The rates of withdrawal adopted by the Board are used to determine the expected number of separations from active service which will occur as a result of resignation or dismissal. The preceding results indicate that for members with more than 2 years of service, the actual number of withdrawals is noticeably less than expected for males around age 35 and more than expected for females prior to age 28 . Therefore, we recommend that the rates of withdrawal be revised to more closely reflect the experience of the system.

Moreover, the actual rates of withdrawal during the select period (first 2 years) indicate that members are withdrawing at a lesser rate during the first year of employment than currently expected. We recommend changing the rate from $34 \%$ to $32 \%$ during the first year of employment.

The following table shows a comparison between the present withdrawal rates and the proposed withdrawal rates for members with more than 2 years of service.

COMPARATIVE RATES OF WITHDRAWAL

| AGE | RATES OF WITHDRAWAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  | FEMALES |  |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
| 20 | $22.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| 25 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.5 |
| 30 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| 35 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| 40 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 45 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 50 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 55 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 60 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 65 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 70 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 74 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED WITHDRAWALS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CENTRAL } \\ & \text { AGE OF } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF WITHDRA WALS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | Withdrawals with more than 2 years of service |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 146 | 142 | 1.028 | 174 | 126 | 1.381 |
| 25 | 1,430 | 1,396 | 1.024 | 2,453 | 2,322 | 1.056 |
| 30 | 2,051 | 2,105 | 0.974 | 3,951 | 4,018 | 0.983 |
| 35 | 1,639 | 1,739 | 0.942 | 3,299 | 3,316 | 0.995 |
| 40 | 1,526 | 1,610 | 0.948 | 3,084 | 3,000 | 1.028 |
| 45 | 1,378 | 1,459 | 0.944 | 2,549 | 2,577 | 0.989 |
| 50 | 1,239 | 1,337 | 0.927 | 2,521 | 2,495 | 1.010 |
| 53 \& over | 1,983 | 1,834 | 1.081 | 3,205 | 3,018 | 1.062 |
| TOTAL | 11,392 | 11,622 | 0.980 | 21,236 | 20,872 | 1.017 |

## PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## RATES OF PRE-RETIREMENT MORTALITY

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED PRE-RETIREMENT DEATHS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CENTRAL } \\ & \text { AGE OF } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 30 | 7 | 5 | 1.400 | 5 | 4 | 1.250 |
| 35 | 13 | 8 | 1.625 | 9 | 5 | 1.800 |
| 40 | 16 | 13 | 1.231 | 14 | 9 | 1.556 |
| 45 | 25 | 23 | 1.087 | 24 | 14 | 1.714 |
| 50 | 46 | 43 | 1.070 | 30 | 23 | 1.304 |
| 55 | 53 | 56 | 0.946 | 30 | 32 | 0.938 |
| 60 | 60 | 59 | 1.017 | 33 | 38 | 0.868 |
| TOTAL | 220 | 207 | 1.063 | 145 | 125 | 1.160 |

The following graphs show a comparison of the present, actual, and proposed rates of pre-retirement mortality.


During the period of investigation, the actual rates of pre-retirement deaths were slightly more than expected over most age groups for males. However, the current rates allow for some improved mortality in the future so we recommend no change in the current rates for males. For females, actual rates of pre-retirement death were more than expected prior to age 52 and less than expected thereafter. Therefore, we recommend that the rates of mortality in active service for females be slightly revised to more closely reflect the experience of the system. The following table shows a comparison between the present death rates and the proposed rates.

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF PRE-RETIREMENT MORTALITY

| AGE | RATES OF DEATH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  | FEMALES |  |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
| 20 | $0.0100 \%$ | $0.0100 \%$ | $0.0045 \%$ | $0.0080 \%$ |
| 25 | 0.0100 | 0.0100 | 0.0060 | 0.0080 |
| 30 | 0.0200 | 0.0200 | 0.0083 | 0.0100 |
| 35 | 0.0300 | 0.0300 | 0.0113 | 0.0150 |
| 40 | 0.0400 | 0.0400 | 0.0158 | 0.0200 |
| 45 | 0.0700 | 0.0700 | 0.0240 | 0.0350 |
| 50 | 0.1400 | 0.1400 | 0.0368 | 0.0450 |
| 55 | 0.1900 | 0.1900 | 0.0555 | 0.0520 |
| 60 | 0.2200 | 0.2200 | 0.0915 | 0.0800 |
| 65 | 0.4000 | 0.4000 | 0.1612 | 0.1000 |

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED PRE-RETIREMENT DEATHS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | NUMBER OF DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 30 | 7 | 5 | 1.400 | 5 | 5 | 1.000 |
| 35 | 13 | 8 | 1.625 | 9 | 7 | 1.286 |
| 40 | 16 | 13 | 1.231 | 14 | 12 | 1.167 |
| 45 | 25 | 23 | 1.087 | 24 | 20 | 1.200 |
| 50 | 46 | 43 | 1.070 | 30 | 28 | 1.071 |
| 55 | 53 | 56 | 0.946 | 30 | 31 | 0.968 |
| 60 | 60 | 59 | 1.017 | 33 | 32 | 1.031 |
| TOTAL | 220 | 207 | 1.063 | 145 | 135 | 1.074 |

## PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## RATES OF DISABILITY RETIREMENT

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED DISABILITY RETIREMENTS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CENTRAL } \\ & \text { AGE OF } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF DISABILITY RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| Below 38 | 18 | 25 | 0.720 | 31 | 25 | 1.240 |
| 40 | 35 | 37 | 0.946 | 49 | 44 | 1.114 |
| 45 | 64 | 68 | 0.941 | 88 | 80 | 1.100 |
| 50 | 109 | 97 | 1.124 | 151 | 137 | 1.102 |
| 55 | 145 | 144 | 1.007 | 222 | 197 | 1.127 |
| 58 \& over | 160 | 171 | 0.936 | 199 | 195 | 1.021 |
| TOTAL | 531 | 542 | 0.980 | 740 | 678 | 1.091 |

The following graphs show a comparison of the present, actual, and proposed rates of disability retirements.


During the period under investigation, the actual rates of disability retirement were less than expected for most ages for males and the actual rates of disability retirement more than expected over all age groups for females. Therefore, we recommend the rates of disability retirement be revised to more closely reflect the experience of the System.

The following table shows a comparison between the present disability retirement rates and the proposed rates.

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF DISABILITY RETIREMENT

| AGE | RATES OF DISABILITY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  | FEMALES |  |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
| 20 | $0.013 \%$ | $0.012 \%$ | $0.009 \%$ | $0.011 \%$ |
| 25 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.013 | 0.014 |
| 30 | 0.022 | 0.020 | 0.016 | 0.018 |
| 35 | 0.049 | 0.044 | 0.020 | 0.022 |
| 40 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.080 | 0.090 |
| 45 | 0.230 | 0.220 | 0.140 | 0.150 |
| 50 | 0.290 | 0.320 | 0.210 | 0.230 |
| 55 | 0.520 | 0.520 | 0.370 | 0.400 |
| 60 | 0.400 | 0.380 | 0.320 | 0.320 |
| 65 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED DISABILITY RETIREMENTS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual to <br> Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of <br> Actual to <br> Expected |
|  | ExABABILITY RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 38 | 18 | 23 | 0.783 | 31 | 28 | 1.107 |
| 40 | 35 | 37 | 0.946 | 49 | 50 | 0.980 |
| 45 | 64 | 67 | 0.955 | 88 | 87 | 1.011 |
| 50 | 109 | 104 | 1.048 | 151 | 150 | 1.007 |
| 55 | 145 | 144 | 1.007 | 222 | 211 | 1.052 |
| $58 \&$ over | 160 | 162 | 0.988 | 199 | 196 | 1.015 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 2 5}$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## RATES OF RETIREMENT

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RETIREMENTS

Retirements with less than 25 years of service

| AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 60 | 321 | 358 | 0.897 | 685 | 731 | 0.937 |
| 61 | 302 | 334 | 0.904 | 543 | 485 | 1.120 |
| 62 | 562 | 532 | 1.056 | 766 | 760 | 1.008 |
| 63 | 370 | 342 | 1.082 | 578 | 545 | 1.061 |
| 64 | 270 | 244 | 1.107 | 457 | 371 | 1.232 |
| 65 | 327 | 283 | 1.155 | 529 | 468 | 1.130 |
| 66 | 234 | 215 | 1.088 | 312 | 300 | 1.040 |
| 67 | 157 | 158 | 0.994 | 195 | 186 | 1.048 |
| 68 | 110 | 125 | 0.880 | 139 | 138 | 1.007 |
| 69 | 124 | 108 | 1.148 | 104 | 113 | 0.920 |
| 70 | 109 | 87 | 1.253 | 107 | 92 | 1.163 |
| 71 | 83 | 76 | 1.092 | 83 | 71 | 1.169 |
| 72 | 76 | 68 | 1.118 | 58 | 52 | 1.115 |
| 73 | 64 | 61 | 1.049 | 46 | 41 | 1.122 |
| 74 | 67 | 56 | 1.196 | 29 | 31 | 0.935 |
| Subtotal | 3,176 | 3,047 | 1.042 | 4,631 | 4,384 | 1.056 |
| 75 \& Over | 233 | 1041 | 0224 | 126 | 603 | 0.209 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 3,409 | 4,088 | 0.834 | 4,757 | 4,987 | 0.954 |

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RETIREMENTS

## Retirements with 25 or more years of service

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE OF } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| Below 48 | 163 | 106 | 1.538 | 144 | 103 | 1.398 |
| 48-51 | 400 | 398 | 1.005 | 664 | 634 | 1.047 |
| 52 | 151 | 126 | 1.198 | 241 | 252 | 0.956 |
| 53 | 135 | 137 | 0.985 | 310 | 274 | 1.131 |
| 54 | 169 | 146 | 1.158 | 326 | 279 | 1.168 |
| 55 | 198 | 172 | 1.151 | 404 | 391 | 1.033 |
| 56 | 204 | 165 | 1.236 | 370 | 387 | 0.956 |
| 57 | 177 | 159 | 1.113 | 390 | 427 | 0.913 |
| 58 | 148 | 156 | 0.949 | 382 | 415 | 0.920 |
| 59 | 168 | 156 | 1.077 | 420 | 388 | 1.082 |
| 60 | 196 | 149 | 1.315 | 406 | 356 | 1.140 |
| 61 | 210 | 192 | 1.094 | 404 | 380 | 1.063 |
| 62 | 308 | 262 | 1.176 | 541 | 425 | 1.273 |
| 63 | 161 | 178 | 0.904 | 336 | 263 | 1.278 |
| 64 | 131 | 133 | 0.985 | 252 | 215 | 1.172 |
| 65 | 140 | 121 | 1.157 | 268 | 236 | 1.136 |
| 66 | 91 | 79 | 1.152 | 155 | 142 | 1.092 |
| 67 | 54 | 48 | 1.125 | 105 | 71 | 1.479 |
| 68 | 51 | 46 | 1.109 | 53 | 48 | 1.104 |
| 69 | 40 | 29 | 1.379 | 49 | 40 | 1.225 |
| 70 | 32 | 23 | 1.391 | 29 | 34 | 0.853 |
| 71 | 23 | 19 | 1.211 | 37 | 30 | 1.233 |
| 72 | 19 | 16 | 1.188 | 20 | 25 | 0.800 |
| 73 | 14 | 17 | 0.824 | 20 | 19 | 1.053 |
| 74 | 15 | 15 | 1.000 | 19 | 15 | 1.267 |
| Subtotal | 3,398 | 3,048 | 1.115 | 6,345 | 5,849 | 1.085 |
| 75 \& Over | 73 | 268 | 0.272 | 74 | 243 | 0.305 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 3,471 | 3,316 | 1.047 | 6,419 | 6,092 | 1.054 |

The following graphs show a comparison of the present, actual, and proposed rates of service retirements.

## RATES OF RETIREMENT FOR ACTIVE MEMBERS WITH LESS THAN 25 YEARS OF SERVICE




## RATES OF RETIREMENT FOR ACTIVE MEMBERS WITH 25 OR MORE YEARS OF SERVICE




The preceding results indicate that for most retirement ages the actual number of retirements is higher than expected number for both males and females and for both service breakdowns, retirements for members with less than 25 years of service as well as retirements for members with 25 or more years of service. Therefore, we recommend the rates of retirement be revised to more closely reflect the experience of the System.

The following table shows a comparison between the present retirement rates and the proposed rates.

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF RETIREMENT

| AGE | RATES OF SERVICE RETIREMENT* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |  |
|  | Under 25 Years of Service |  | 25 Years of Service and Over |  | Under 25 Years of Service |  | 25 Years of Service and Over |  |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
| 45 |  |  | 13.0\% | 18.0\% |  |  | 11.0\% | 14.0\% |
| 50 |  |  | 13.0 | 13.0 |  |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| 55 |  |  | 15.0 | 17.0 |  |  | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| 60 | 11.0\% | 10.0\% | 15.0 | 20.0 | 13.0\% | 12.5\% | 20.0 | 22.0 |
| 62 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 30.0 | 33.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 30.0 | 36.0 |
| 65 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 28.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 27.0 | 38.0 | 42.0 |
| 70 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 25.0 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 25.0 | 22.0 |
| 75 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

* The proposed changes shown above are used for Tier 4 service retirements as well, except the 25 years of service is 30 years of service for these members.


## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RETIREMENTS BASED ON

## PROPOSED RATES

## Retirements with less than 25 years of service

| AGE OF GROUP | NUMBER OF RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 60 | 321 | 325 | 0.988 | 685 | 703 | 0.974 |
| 61 | 302 | 304 | 0.993 | 543 | 510 | 1.065 |
| 62 | 562 | 532 | 1.056 | 766 | 760 | 1.008 |
| 63 | 370 | 364 | 1.016 | 578 | 561 | 1.030 |
| 64 | 270 | 262 | 1.031 | 457 | 433 | 1.055 |
| 65 | 327 | 311 | 1.051 | 529 | 506 | 1.045 |
| 66 | 234 | 226 | 1.035 | 312 | 306 | 1.020 |
| 67 | 157 | 158 | 0.994 | 195 | 186 | 1.048 |
| 68 | 110 | 117 | 0.940 | 139 | 138 | 1.007 |
| 69 | 124 | 114 | 1.088 | 104 | 107 | 0.972 |
| 70 | 109 | 98 | 1.112 | 107 | 102 | 1.049 |
| 71 | 83 | 80 | 1.038 | 83 | 78 | 1.064 |
| 72 | 76 | 72 | 1.056 | 58 | 54 | 1.074 |
| 73 | 64 | 61 | 1.049 | 46 | 43 | 1.070 |
| 74 | 67 | 63 | 1.063 | 29 | 29 | 1.000 |
| Subtotal | 3,176 | 3,087 | 1.029 | 4,631 | 4,516 | 1.025 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 \& Over | 233 | 1,041 | 0.224 | 126 | 603 | 0.209 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 3,409 | 4,128 | 0.826 | 4,757 | 5,119 | 0.929 |

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED RETIREMENTS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

## Retirements with 25 or more years of service

| AGE OF <br> GROUP | NUMBER OF RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| Below 48 | 163 | 146 | 1.116 | 144 | 131 | 1.099 |
| 48-51 | 400 | 398 | 1.005 | 664 | 634 | 1.047 |
| 52 | 151 | 136 | 1.110 | 241 | 252 | 0.956 |
| 53 | 135 | 137 | 0.985 | 310 | 295 | 1.051 |
| 54 | 169 | 169 | 1.000 | 326 | 322 | 1.012 |
| 55 | 198 | 194 | 1.021 | 404 | 391 | 1.033 |
| 56 | 204 | 187 | 1.091 | 370 | 387 | 0.956 |
| 57 | 177 | 181 | 0.978 | 390 | 406 | 0.961 |
| 58 | 148 | 156 | 0.949 | 382 | 394 | 0.970 |
| 59 | 168 | 156 | 1.077 | 420 | 407 | 1.032 |
| 60 | 196 | 199 | 0.985 | 406 | 391 | 1.038 |
| 61 | 210 | 201 | 1.045 | 404 | 396 | 1.020 |
| 62 | 308 | 288 | 1.069 | 541 | 510 | 1.061 |
| 63 | 161 | 165 | 0.976 | 336 | 315 | 1.067 |
| 64 | 131 | 133 | 0.985 | 252 | 248 | 1.016 |
| 65 | 140 | 129 | 1.085 | 268 | 261 | 1.027 |
| 66 | 91 | 85 | 1.071 | 155 | 150 | 1.033 |
| 67 | 54 | 52 | 1.038 | 105 | 102 | 1.029 |
| 68 | 51 | 48 | 1.063 | 53 | 52 | 1.019 |
| 69 | 40 | 37 | 1.081 | 49 | 43 | 1.140 |
| 70 | 32 | 29 | 1.103 | 29 | 29 | 1.000 |
| 71 | 23 | 22 | 1.045 | 37 | 33 | 1.121 |
| 72 | 19 | 19 | 1.000 | 20 | 22 | 0.909 |
| 73 | 14 | 15 | 0.933 | 20 | 19 | 1.053 |
| 74 | 15 | 15 | 1.000 | 19 | 15 | 1.267 |
| Subtotal | 3,398 | 3,297 | 1.031 | 6,345 | 6,205 | 1.023 |
| 75 \& Over | 73 | 268 | 0.272 | 74 | 243 | 0.305 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { GRAND } \\ & \text { TOTAL } \end{aligned}$ | 3,471 | 3,565 | 0.974 | 6,419 | 6,448 | 0.996 |

## PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## RATES OF POST-RETIREMENT MORTALITY

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED CASES OF POST-RETIREMENT DEATHS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CENTRAL } \\ & \text { AGE OF } \\ & \text { GROUP } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER OF POST-RETIREMENT DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | SERVICE RETIREMIENTS AND B ENEFICIARIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 53 | 27 | 10 | 2.700 | 16 | 8 | 2.000 |
| 55 | 35 | 30 | 1.167 | 42 | 30 | 1.400 |
| 60 | 134 | 108 | 1.241 | 147 | 124 | 1.185 |
| 65 | 302 | 312 | 0.968 | 302 | 329 | 0.918 |
| 70 | 459 | 470 | 0.977 | 421 | 454 | 0.927 |
| 75 | 598 | 588 | 1.017 | 602 | 574 | 1.049 |
| 80 | 690 | 694 | 0.994 | 896 | 835 | 1.073 |
| 85 | 688 | 601 | 1.145 | 1,001 | 951 | 1.053 |
| 90 | 435 | 369 | 1.179 | 922 | 828 | 1.114 |
| 95 | 140 | 131 | 1.069 | 549 | 462 | 1.188 |
| 98 \& over | 44 | 37 | 1.189 | 164 | 153 | 1.072 |
| TOTAL | 3,552 | 3,350 | 1.060 | 5,062 | 4,748 | 1.066 |
|  | DISABILITY RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 48 | 15 | 20 | 0.750 | 22 | 10 | 2.200 |
| 50 | 27 | 30 | 0.900 | 36 | 19 | 1.895 |
| 55 | 49 | 56 | 0.875 | 60 | 42 | 1.429 |
| 60 | 91 | 89 | 1.022 | 78 | 68 | 1.147 |
| 65 | 66 | 78 | 0.846 | 60 | 73 | 0.822 |
| 70 | 64 | 53 | 1.208 | 42 | 56 | 0.750 |
| 75 | 41 | 37 | 1.108 | 30 | 49 | 0.612 |
| 80 | 36 | 22 | 1.636 | 29 | 31 | 0.935 |
| 85 | 15 | 12 | 1.250 | 14 | 16 | 0.875 |
| 88 \& over | 8 | 4 | 2.000 | 21 | 20 | 1.050 |
| TOTAL | 412 | 401 | 1.027 | 392 | 384 | 1.021 |

The following graphs show a comparison of the present, actual and proposed rates of postretirement deaths.

## POST-RETIREMENT DEATHS SERVICE RETIREMENTS AND BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED MEMBERS




## POST-RETIREMENT DEATHS DISABILITY RETIREMENTS




The preceding results indicate that the actual number of post-retirement deaths of service retirements was slightly more than expected for most ages for service retirees, beneficiaries and disabled retirees.

The margin for improved mortality going forward is within reasonable actuarial standards. However, since there is a more up-to-date mortality table that uses combined blue collar and white collar experience, we are recommending PERS and all other Systems change the rates of mortality for healthy retirements to the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table Projected with Scale AA to 2025 set forward two years for males. In addition, we recommend that the rates of mortality for disability retirements be revised to the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table set back three years for males and set forward two years for females. The following table shows a comparison between the present and proposed rates of mortality.

## COMPARATIVE RATES OF POST-RETIREMENT MORTALITY

| AGE | RATES OF POST-RETIREMENT DEATH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  | FEMALES |  |
|  | Present | Proposed | Present | Proposed |
|  | SERVICE RETIREMINTS \& BENEICIARIIS OF DECEASED MEMBERS |  |  |  |
| 45 | $0.1578 \%$ | $0.1250 \%$ | $0.0973 \%$ | $0.0751 \%$ |
| 50 | 0.2579 | 0.1694 | 0.1428 | 0.1092 |
| 55 | 0.4425 | 0.2905 | 0.2294 | 0.2223 |
| 60 | 0.7976 | 0.5851 | 0.4439 | 0.4460 |
| 62 | 1.0147 | 0.7731 | 0.5832 | 0.5873 |
| 65 | 1.4535 | 1.1300 | 0.8636 | 0.8563 |
| 70 | 2.3730 | 1.8697 | 1.3730 | 1.4770 |
| 75 | 3.7211 | 3.2972 | 2.2686 | 2.2993 |
|  |  | DISABILITY RETIREMENTS |  |  |
| 35 | $2.2571 \%$ | $2.2571 \%$ | $0.7450 \%$ | $0.7450 \%$ |
| 40 | 2.2571 | 2.2571 | 0.7450 | 0.7450 |
| 45 | 2.2571 | 2.2571 | 0.9775 | 0.8959 |
| 50 | 2.6404 | 2.5124 | 1.4465 | 1.3456 |
| 55 | 3.2859 | 3.1563 | 1.9710 | 1.8654 |
| 60 | 3.9334 | 3.8026 | 2.5293 | 2.4080 |
| 65 | 4.6584 | 4.4981 | 3.3234 | 3.1325 |
| 70 | 5.6909 | 5.4450 | 4.5769 | 4.2851 |
| 75 | 7.3292 | 6.9405 | 6.3545 | 5.9545 |
| 80 | 9.7640 | 9.2149 | 8.7838 | 8.2298 |
| 85 | 12.8343 | 12.1877 | 12.2464 | 11.4512 |
| 90 | 16.2186 | 15.5235 | 17.0433 | 15.9924 |

The following shows a comparison of the actual and expected post-retirement deaths based on new revised rates of mortality.

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED CASES OF POST-RETIREMENT DEATHS BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

| CENTRAL <br> AGE OF <br> GROUP | NUMBER OF POST-RETIREMENT DEATHS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES |  |  | FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
|  | SERVICE RETIREMENTS AND BENEFICIARIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 53 | 27 | 7 | 3.857 | 16 | 6 | 2.667 |
| 55 | 35 | 20 | 1.750 | 42 | 29 | 1.448 |
| 60 | 134 | 81 | 1.654 | 147 | 126 | 1.167 |
| 65 | 302 | 245 | 1.233 | 302 | 328 | 0.921 |
| 70 | 459 | 375 | 1.224 | 421 | 478 | 0.881 |
| 75 | 598 | 517 | 1.157 | 602 | 587 | 1.026 |
| 80 | 690 | 704 | 0.980 | 896 | 815 | 1.099 |
| 85 | 688 | 700 | 0.983 | 1,001 | 939 | 1.066 |
| 90 | 435 | 469 | 0.928 | 922 | 864 | 1.067 |
| 95 | 140 | 159 | 0.881 | 549 | 462 | 1.188 |
| 98 \& over | 44 | 42 | 1.048 | 164 | 131 | 1.252 |
| TOTAL | 3,552 | 3,319 | 1.070 | 5,062 | 4,765 | 1.062 |
|  | DISABILITY RETIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below 48 | 15 | 20 | 0.750 | 22 | 9 | 2.444 |
| 50 | 27 | 28 | 0.964 | 36 | 18 | 2.000 |
| 55 | 49 | 53 | 0.925 | 60 | 40 | 1.500 |
| 60 | 91 | 86 | 1.058 | 78 | 64 | 1.219 |
| 65 | 66 | 76 | 0.868 | 60 | 69 | 0.870 |
| 70 | 64 | 51 | 1.255 | 42 | 52 | 0.808 |
| 75 | 41 | 35 | 1.171 | 30 | 45 | 0.667 |
| 80 | 36 | 21 | 1.714 | 29 | 29 | 1.000 |
| 85 | 15 | 11 | 1.364 | 14 | 15 | 0.933 |
| 88 \& over | 8 | 4 | 2.000 | 21 | 19 | 1.105 |
| TOTAL | 412 | 385 | 1.070 | 392 | 360 | 1.089 |

## PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

## RATES OF SALARY INCREASE

## COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SALARIES OF ACTIVE MEMBERS

| SERVICE OF GROUP | SALARIES AT END OF YEAR (\$1,000's) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES AND FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual to Expected |
| 0 | \$1,864,676 | \$1,875,152 | 0.994 |
| 1 | 1,387,160 | 1,459,490 | 0.950 |
| 2 | 1,298,627 | 1,343,761 | 0.966 |
| 3 | 1,171,672 | 1,204,792 | 0.973 |
| 4 | 1,051,234 | 1,077,762 | 0.975 |
| 5-9 | 4,534,946 | 4,626,549 | 0.980 |
| 10-14 | 3,475,353 | 3,548,181 | 0.979 |
| 15-19 | 2,748,756 | 2,816,047 | 0.976 |
| 20-24 | 2,154,900 | 2,206,581 | 0.977 |
| 25-29 | 1,102,943 | 1,124,712 | 0.981 |
| 30-34 | 543,095 | 551,408 | 0.985 |
| 35 \& Over | 198,714 | 201,976 | 0.984 |
| TOTAL | \$21,532,076 | \$22,036,411 | 0.977 |

Over the past four years actual rates of salary increase have been significantly less than expected at all service breakdowns. In the previous study, we noted that for the fiscal year ending 2010, the salary increases were very small and we removed that one year from our analysis. However, we have seen the same trend for fiscal years ending in 2011 and 2012. Therefore, we are recommending a $0.50 \%$ decrease in rates of salary increase at each service group prior to 28 years and a $0.25 \%$ decrease in rates of salary for service on or after 25 years. The following table shows a comparison between the present and proposed rates of salary increase.

| SERVICE OF <br> GROUP | SALARY INCREASE RATES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MALES AND FEMALES |  |
|  | Present | Proposed |
| 0 | $20.00 \%$ | $19.50 \%$ |
| 1 | $10.00 \%$ | $9.50 \%$ |
| 2 | $7.50 \%$ | $7.00 \%$ |
| 3 | $6.50 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ |
| 4 | $6.00 \%$ | $5.50 \%$ |
| $5-7$ | $5.50 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| $8-27$ | $5.00 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ |
| 28 and Over | $4.50 \%$ | $4.25 \%$ |

COMPARISON OF ACTUAL AND EXPECTED SALARIES
OF ACTIVE MEMBERS
BASED ON PROPOSED RATES

| SERVICE OF <br> GROUP | SALARIES AT END OF YEAR (\$1,000's) |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | MALES AND FEMALES |  |  |
|  | Actual | Expected | Ratio of Actual <br> to Expected |
| 0 | $\$ 1,864,676$ | $\$ 1,867,338$ | 0.999 |
| 1 | $1,387,160$ | $1,452,856$ | 0.955 |
| 2 | $1,298,627$ | $1,337,512$ | 0.971 |
| 3 | $1,171,672$ | $1,199,136$ | 0.977 |
| 4 | $1,051,234$ | $1,072,677$ | 0.980 |
| $5-9$ | $4,534,946$ | $4,604,583$ | 0.985 |
| $10-14$ | $3,475,353$ | $3,531,287$ | 0.984 |
| $15-19$ | $2,748,756$ | $2,802,638$ | 0.981 |
| $20-24$ | $2,154,900$ | $2,196,074$ | 0.981 |
| $25-29$ | $1,102,943$ | $1,120,212$ | 0.985 |
| $30-34$ | 543,095 | 550,089 | 0.987 |
| $35 \&$ Over | 198,714 | 201,492 | 0.986 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 2}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 2 1 , 5 3 2}$ |  |  |

# PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM 

## OTHER ASSUMPTIONS

AMORTIZATION METHOD: Currently, the unfunded accrued liability is amortized using the level percent of payroll amortization method. This method is a reasonable method under actuarial standards. Therefore, we recommend no change in this methodology.

ASSETS: Currently, the actuarial value of assets recognizes a portion of the difference between the market value of assets and the expected market value of assets, based on the assumed valuation rate of return. The amount recognized each year is $20 \%$ of the difference between market value and expected market value. We recommend no change in this methodology.

OPTION FACTORS: The option factors, currently in use by all of the Retirement Systems, are based on the mortality table and investment rate of return (discount rate) used in the valuation. We recommend that the factors be revised to be based on the proposed mortality table recommended for the valuation.

VALUATION COST METHOD: Currently, the valuation uses the Entry Age Normal (EAN) Cost Method. The EAN cost method is the most widely used cost method of public sector plans and has demonstrated the highest degree of contribution stability as compared to alternative methods. Actuarial gains and losses under EAN are reflected in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. In addition, the EAN method is the only method allowed under the new GASB 67/68 standards. Therefore, we recommend no change in the EAN Cost Method.

DEFERRED VESTEDS: Currently, the valuation assumes that $100 \%$ of participants that leave the System as deferred vested will receive a deferred benefit upon attaining the eligibility requirements for retirement. However, after review of the experience of the data, we have noted that for this four year period, about $30 \%$ of those participants who were vested chose to forfeit their accrued benefit and receive their employee contributions with interest. Therefore, we recommend a change in our assumption at this time.

DEATH ASSUMPTION: Currently, it is assumed that 6\% of active member deaths are in the line of duty and $94 \%$ of active members deaths are not in the line of duty. During the experience investigation period, about $5.8 \%$ of active deaths each year were in the line of duty so, therefore, we recommend no change in this assumption at this time.

DISABILITY ASSUMPTION: Currently, it is assumed that $6 \%$ of active member disabilities are in the line of duty and $94 \%$ of active members disabilities are not in the line of duty. During the experience investigation period, about $6.8 \%$ of disabilities each year were in the line of duty so, therefore, we recommend no change in this assumption at this time.

PERCENT MARRIED: Currently, $85 \%$ of active members are assumed to be married and elect a joint \& survivor payment form. We have reviewed this assumption and recommend no change at this time.

SPOUSE AGE DIFFERENCE: Currently, for married members, it is assumed a male is three years older than his spouse. We have reviewed this assumption and recommend no change at this time.

UNUSED LEAVE: Currently, we assume that participants will have on average 0.50 years of unused leave (sick and personal) at retirement. We reviewed this assumption for those participants who retired during this four year period and the average number of years of unused leave was 0.52 years. Therefore, we recommend no change at this time.

MILITARY SERVICE: Currently, we assume that participants will have on average 0.25 years of military service at retirement. We reviewed this assumption for those participants who retired during this four year period and the average number of years of military service was 0.28 years. Therefore, we recommend no change at this time.

## HIGHWAY SAFETY PATROL RETIREMENT SYSTEM <br> SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Over the period of this investigation, we have noted the following observations:
> There were 31 actual withdrawals versus 35 expected withdrawals over the four year period of this investigation. In the prior investigation, the number of actual withdrawals was also less than the number of expected withdrawals and we made a slight change in the rates of withdrawal to match experience. At this time, we recommend no change but if this trend continues in the next experience study, we may further lower the rates of withdrawal.
$>$ There were 98 actual retirements versus 100 expected retirements over the four-year period of this investigation. We, therefore, recommend no change to the retirement decrements at this time.
$>$ There was one death while in active service over the four-year period of this investigation and there was one death in the prior study. We recommend no changes in active death decrements at this time.
$>$ There were 3 disability retirements over the four-year period of this investigation compared to 2 in the prior study. The current rates of disability expect four in the period. Therefore, we recommend no change in disability rates at this time.
$>$ Actual rates of salary increase were significantly lower for the periods ending June 30, 2009 and June 30, 2011. The average of the four years was about $2.8 \%$ lower than expected. Similar to PERS, we recommend a small change in the HSPRS rates of salary increase, lowering all rates by $0.25 \%$.
> As mentioned in the PERS section of this report, we recommend that the rates of mortality for service retirements be revised to the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table Projected with Scale AA to 2025 set forward two years for males. In addition, we recommend that the rates of mortality for disability retirements be revised to the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table set back three years for males and set forward two years for females. We recommend each of the Systems have the same mortality table.

## SUPPLEMENTAL LEGISLATIVE RETIREMENT PLAN <br> SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Over the period of this investigation, we have noted the following observations:
$>$ We have reviewed the withdrawal rates for both non-election years and election years. The number of withdrawals during non-election years ( $1 \%$ of exposed) was not enough to warrant adding withdrawal rates during these years. The actual number of withdrawals during the election year was more than expected (19 vs. 11). Therefore, we recommend an increase from $15 \%$ to $20 \%$ in the rates of withdrawal for election years to better match the experience.
$>$ We also reviewed the service retirements rates for both non-election years and election years. The number of service retirements during non-election years ( $1 \%$ of exposed) was not enough to warrant adding rates during those years. The actual number of service retirements during the election year was close to expected ( 25 vs .28 ), so therefore, we recommend no changes at this time.
$>$ There were 3 deaths while in active service over the four-year period of this investigation which is exactly what was expected. Therefore, we recommend no change at this time.
$>$ There were no disability retirements over the four-year period of this investigation which is close to what was expected. Therefore, we recommend no change at this time.
$>$ The salary scale was lowered in the 2008 investigation study from $5.0 \%$ to $4.5 \%$ for all ages. Last study and this year's study, actual salary increases were about $96 \%$ of expected. We recommend that the salary scale be further reduced to $4.25 \%$ for all ages.
> As mentioned in the PERS section of this report, we recommend that the rates of mortality for service retirements be revised to the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table

Projected with Scale AA to 2025 set forward two years for males. In addition, we recommend that the rates of mortality for disability retirements be revised to the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table set back three years for males and set forward two years for females. We recommend each of the Systems have the same mortality table.

## MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Since this is a closed System with very few actives remaining, we have not investigated the active decrements, but have concentrated on the post-retirement mortality experience. Over the period of this investigation, we have found the following observations:
$>$ As mentioned in the PERS section of this report, we recommend that the rates of mortality for service retirements be revised to the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table Projected with Scale AA to 2025 set forward two years for males. In addition, we recommend that the rates of mortality for disability retirements be revised to the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table set back three years for males and set forward two years for females. We recommend each of the Systems have the same mortality table.

## Appendix A

Historical June CPI (U) Index

| Year | CPI (U) | Year | CPI (U) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1961 | 29.8 | 1987 | 113.5 |
| 1962 | 30.2 | 1988 | 118.0 |
| 1963 | 30.6 | 1989 | 124.1 |
| 1964 | 31.0 | 1990 | 129.9 |
| 1965 | 31.6 | 1991 | 136.0 |
| 1966 | 32.4 | 1992 | 140.2 |
| 1967 | 33.3 | 1993 | 144.4 |
| 1968 | 35.7 | 1994 | 148.0 |
| 1969 | 34.7 | 1995 | 152.5 |
| 1970 | 38.8 | 1996 | 156.7 |
| 1971 | 40.6 | 1997 | 160.3 |
| 1972 | 41.7 | 1998 | 163.0 |
| 1973 | 44.2 | 1999 | 166.2 |
| 1974 | 49.0 | 2000 | 172.4 |
| 1975 | 53.6 | 2001 | 178.0 |
| 1976 | 56.8 | 2002 | 179.9 |
| 1977 | 60.7 | 2003 | 183.7 |
| 1978 | 65.2 | 2004 | 189.7 |
| 1979 | 72.3 | 2005 | 194.5 |
| 1980 | 82.7 | 2006 | 202.9 |
| 1981 | 90.6 | 2007 | 208.352 |
| 1982 | 97.0 | 2008 | 218.815 |
| 1983 | 99.5 | 215.693 |  |
| 1984 | 113.5 | 217.965 |  |
| 1985 | 118.0 | 225.722 |  |
| 1986 | 124.1 | 229.478 |  |
|  | 2010 |  |  |

## Appendix B

Capital Market Assumptions and Asset Allocation

## Geometric Real Rates of Return and Standard Deviations by Asset Class

| Asset Class | Expected Real <br> Rate of Return | Standard Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Broad | $5.20 \%$ | $19.30 \%$ |
| International Equity | 5.00 | 20.10 |
| Emerging Markets Equity | 5.45 | 27.75 |
| Fixed Income | 0.25 | 3.50 |
| Real Assets | 4.00 | 16.20 |
| Private Equity | 6.15 | 30.90 |
| Cash | $(0.50)$ | 0.90 |

## Asset Class Correlation Coefficients

| Asset Class | US <br> Broad | Int'l <br> Eq | Emerg <br> Eq | Fixed | Real <br> Assets | Priv <br> Eq | Cash |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Broad | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| International Equity | 0.85 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emerging Markets <br> Equity | 0.84 | 0.84 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed Income | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
| Real Assets | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.13 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |  |  |
| Private Equity | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 0.71 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |  |
| Cash | $(0.05)$ | $(0.01)$ | $(0.10)$ | 0.08 | $(0.05)$ | 0.00 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |

Asset Allocation Targets

| Asset Class | Asset Allocation |
| :--- | :---: |
| U.S. Broad | $34.00 \%$ |
| International Equity | 19.00 |
| Emerging Markets Equity | 8.00 |
| Fixed Income | 20.00 |
| Real Assets | 10.00 |
| Private Equity | 8.00 |
| Cash | 1.00 |

## Appendix C

Social Security Administration Wage Index

| Year | Wage Index | Annual <br> Increase | Year | Wage Index | Annual <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 | $\$ 4,007.12$ | $3.92 \%$ | 1986 | $\$ 17,321.82$ | $2.97 \%$ |
| 1961 | $4,086.76$ | 1.99 | 1987 | $18,426.51$ | 6.38 |
| 1962 | $4,291.40$ | 5.01 | 1988 | $19,334.04$ | 4.93 |
| 1963 | $4,396.64$ | 2.45 | 1989 | $20,099.55$ | 3.96 |
| 1964 | $4,576.32$ | 4.09 | 1990 | $21,027.98$ | 4.62 |
| 1965 | $4,658.72$ | 1.80 | 1991 | $21,811.60$ | 3.73 |
| 1966 | $4,938.36$ | 6.00 | 1992 | $22,935.42$ | 5.15 |
| 1967 | $5,213.44$ | 5.57 | 1993 | $23,132.67$ | 0.86 |
| 1968 | $5,571.76$ | 6.87 | 1994 | $23,753.53$ | 2.68 |
| 1969 | $5,893.76$ | 5.78 | 1995 | $24,705.66$ | 4.01 |
| 1970 | $6,186.24$ | 4.96 | 1996 | $25,913.90$ | 4.89 |
| 1971 | $6,497.08$ | 5.02 | 1997 | $27,426.00$ | 5.84 |
| 1972 | $7,133.80$ | 9.80 | 1998 | $28,861.44$ | 5.23 |
| 1973 | $7,580.16$ | 6.26 | 1999 | $30,469.84$ | 5.57 |
| 1974 | $8,030.76$ | 5.94 | 2000 | $32,154.82$ | 5.53 |
| 1975 | $8,630.92$ | 7.47 | 2001 | $32,921.92$ | 2.39 |
| 1976 | $9,226.48$ | 6.90 | 2002 | $33,252.09$ | 1.00 |
| 1977 | $9,779.44$ | 5.99 | 2003 | $34,064.95$ | 2.44 |
| 1978 | $10,556.03$ | 7.94 | 2004 | $35,648.55$ | 4.65 |
| 1979 | $11,479.46$ | 8.75 | 2005 | $36,952.94$ | 3.66 |
| 1980 | $12,513.46$ | 9.01 | 2006 | $38,651.41$ | 4.60 |
| 1981 | $13,773.10$ | 10.07 | 2007 | $40,405.48$ | 4.54 |
| 1982 | $14,531.34$ | 5.51 | 2008 | $41,334.97$ | 2.30 |
| 1983 | $15,239.24$ | 4.87 | 2009 | $40,711.61$ | -1.51 |
| 1984 | $16,135.07$ | 5.88 | 2010 | $41,673.83$ | 2.36 |
| 1985 | $16,822.51$ | 4.26 | 2011 | $42,979.61$ | 3.13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

TABLE 1
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE - MALES

| AGE | ULTIMATE RATES OF WITHDRAWAL* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { DEATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RATES } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { DISABILITY } \end{gathered}$ | RATES OF RETIREMENT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LESS THAN 25 YRS OF SERVICE** | 25 OR MORE YEARS OF SERVICE**** |
| 20 | 0.220 | 0.000100 | 0.00012 |  |  |
| 21 | 0.206 | 0.000100 | 0.00012 |  |  |
| 22 | 0.192 | 0.000100 | 0.00014 |  |  |
| 23 | 0.178 | 0.000100 | 0.00014 |  |  |
| 24 | 0.164 | 0.000100 | 0.00014 |  |  |
| 25 | 0.150 | 0.000100 | 0.00017 |  |  |
| 26 | 0.140 | 0.000100 | 0.00017 |  |  |
| 27 | 0.130 | 0.000125 | 0.00020 |  |  |
| 28 | 0.120 | 0.000150 | 0.00020 |  |  |
| 29 | 0.110 | 0.000175 | 0.00020 |  |  |
| 30 | 0.100 | 0.000200 | 0.00020 |  |  |
| 31 | 0.095 | 0.000220 | 0.00023 |  |  |
| 32 | 0.090 | 0.000240 | 0.00029 |  |  |
| 33 | 0.085 | 0.000260 | 0.00036 |  |  |
| 34 | 0.080 | 0.000280 | 0.00041 |  |  |
| 35 | 0.075 | 0.000300 | 0.00044 |  |  |
| 36 | 0.072 | 0.000320 | 0.00059 |  |  |
| 37 | 0.069 | 0.000340 | 0.00074 |  |  |
| 38 | 0.066 | 0.000360 | 0.00089 |  |  |
| 39 | 0.063 | 0.000380 | 0.00104 |  |  |
| 40 | 0.060 | 0.000400 | 0.00120 |  | 0.180 |
| 41 | 0.059 | 0.000460 | 0.00140 |  | 0.180 |
| 42 | 0.058 | 0.000520 | 0.00160 |  | 0.180 |
| 43 | 0.057 | 0.000580 | 0.00180 |  | 0.180 |
| 44 | 0.056 | 0.000640 | 0.00200 |  | 0.180 |
| 45 | 0.055 | 0.000700 | 0.00220 |  | 0.180 |
| 46 | 0.055 | 0.000840 | 0.00240 |  | 0.180 |
| 47 | 0.055 | 0.000980 | 0.00260 |  | 0.180 |
| 48 | 0.055 | 0.001120 | 0.00280 |  | 0.130 |
| 49 | 0.055 | 0.001260 | 0.00300 |  | 0.130 |
| 50 | 0.055 | 0.001400 | 0.00320 |  | 0.130 |
| 51 | 0.055 | 0.001500 | 0.00360 |  | 0.130 |
| 52 | 0.055 | 0.001600 | 0.00400 |  | 0.140 |
| 53 | 0.055 | 0.001700 | 0.00440 |  | 0.130 |
| 54 | 0.055 | 0.001800 | 0.00480 |  | 0.150 |
| 55 | 0.055 | 0.001900 | 0.00520 |  | 0.170 |
| 56 | 0.055 | 0.001960 | 0.00492 |  | 0.170 |
| 57 | 0.055 | 0.002020 | 0.00464 |  | 0.170 |
| 58 | 0.055 | 0.002080 | 0.00436 |  | 0.150 |
| 59 | 0.055 | 0.002140 | 0.00408 |  | 0.150 |
| 60 | 0.055 | 0.002200 | 0.00380 | 0.100 | 0.200 |
| 61 | 0.055 | 0.002560 | 0.00380 | 0.100 | 0.220 |
| 62 | 0.055 | 0.002920 | 0.00380 | 0.190 | 0.330 |
| 63 | 0.055 | 0.003280 | 0.00380 | 0.170 | 0.260 |
| 64 | 0.055 | 0.003640 | 0.00380 | 0.150 | 0.250 |
| 65 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.220 | 0.300 |
| 66 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.210 | 0.300 |
| 67 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.180 | 0.240 |
| 68 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.160 | 0.250 |
| 69 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.180 | 0.250 |
| 70 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.190 | 0.250 |
| 71 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.180 | 0.230 |
| 72 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.180 | 0.230 |
| 73 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.170 | 0.180 |
| 74 | 0.055 | 0.004000 | 0.00000 | 0.190 | 0.200 |
| 75 | 0.000 | 0.000000 | 0.00000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

[^2]TABLE 2
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE - FEMALES

| AGE | ULTIMATE RATES OF WITHDRAWAL* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { DEATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RATES } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { DISABILITY } \end{gathered}$ | RATES OF RETIREMENT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LESS THAN 25 YRS OF SERVICE** | 25 OR MORE YEARS OF SERVICE** |
| 20 | 0.250 | 0.000080 | 0.00011 |  |  |
| 21 | 0.231 | 0.000080 | 0.00011 |  |  |
| 22 | 0.212 | 0.000080 | 0.00012 |  |  |
| 23 | 0.193 | 0.000080 | 0.00012 |  |  |
| 24 | 0.174 | 0.000080 | 0.00012 |  |  |
| 25 | 0.155 | 0.000080 | 0.00014 |  |  |
| 26 | 0.145 | 0.000080 | 0.00014 |  |  |
| 27 | 0.135 | 0.000080 | 0.00018 |  |  |
| 28 | 0.125 | 0.000087 | 0.00018 |  |  |
| 29 | 0.115 | 0.000093 | 0.00018 |  |  |
| 30 | 0.105 | 0.000100 | 0.00018 |  |  |
| 31 | 0.100 | 0.000110 | 0.00019 |  |  |
| 32 | 0.095 | 0.000120 | 0.00019 |  |  |
| 33 | 0.090 | 0.000130 | 0.00020 |  |  |
| 34 | 0.085 | 0.000140 | 0.00021 |  |  |
| 35 | 0.080 | 0.000150 | 0.00022 |  |  |
| 36 | 0.076 | 0.000160 | 0.00036 |  |  |
| 37 | 0.072 | 0.000170 | 0.00050 |  |  |
| 38 | 0.068 | 0.000180 | 0.00064 |  |  |
| 39 | 0.064 | 0.000190 | 0.00078 |  |  |
| 40 | 0.060 | 0.000200 | 0.00090 |  | 0.140 |
| 41 | 0.058 | 0.000230 | 0.00102 |  | 0.140 |
| 42 | 0.056 | 0.000260 | 0.00114 |  | 0.140 |
| 43 | 0.054 | 0.000290 | 0.00126 |  | 0.140 |
| 44 | 0.052 | 0.000320 | 0.00138 |  | 0.140 |
| 45 | 0.050 | 0.000350 | 0.00150 |  | 0.140 |
| 46 | 0.050 | 0.000370 | 0.00166 |  | 0.140 |
| 47 | 0.050 | 0.000390 | 0.00182 |  | 0.140 |
| 48 | 0.050 | 0.000410 | 0.00198 |  | 0.110 |
| 49 | 0.050 | 0.000430 | 0.00214 |  | 0.110 |
| 50 | 0.050 | 0.000450 | 0.00230 |  | 0.110 |
| 51 | 0.050 | 0.000464 | 0.00264 |  | 0.110 |
| 52 | 0.050 | 0.000478 | 0.00298 |  | 0.130 |
| 53 | 0.050 | 0.000492 | 0.00332 |  | 0.140 |
| 54 | 0.050 | 0.000506 | 0.00366 |  | 0.150 |
| 55 | 0.050 | 0.000520 | 0.00400 |  | 0.180 |
| 56 | 0.050 | 0.000576 | 0.00384 |  | 0.180 |
| 57 | 0.050 | 0.000632 | 0.00368 |  | 0.190 |
| 58 | 0.050 | 0.000688 | 0.00352 |  | 0.190 |
| 59 | 0.050 | 0.000744 | 0.00336 |  | 0.210 |
| 60 | 0.050 | 0.000800 | 0.00320 | 0.125 | 0.220 |
| 61 | 0.050 | 0.000840 | 0.00320 | 0.105 | 0.250 |
| 62 | 0.050 | 0.000880 | 0.00320 | 0.180 | 0.360 |
| 63 | 0.050 | 0.000920 | 0.00320 | 0.175 | 0.300 |
| 64 | 0.050 | 0.000960 | 0.00320 | 0.175 | 0.300 |
| 65 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.270 | 0.420 |
| 66 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.245 | 0.370 |
| 67 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.200 | 0.360 |
| 68 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.190 | 0.270 |
| 69 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.180 | 0.270 |
| 70 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.210 | 0.220 |
| 71 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.210 | 0.280 |
| 72 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.200 | 0.220 |
| 73 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.200 | 0.250 |
| 74 | 0.050 | 0.001000 | 0.00000 | 0.180 | 0.250 |
| 75 | 0.000 | 0.000000 | 0.00000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

[^3]TABLE 3
HIGHWAY SAFETY PATROL RETIREMENT SYSTEM RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

| AGE | RATES OF WITHDRAWAL | RATES OF <br> DEATH <br>  <br> FEMALES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RATES OF } \\ & \text { DISABILITY } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.0800 | 0.000200 | 0.00090 |
| 21 | 0.0720 | 0.000200 | 0.00090 |
| 22 | 0.0640 | 0.000200 | 0.00090 |
| 23 | 0.0560 | 0.000250 | 0.00102 |
| 24 | 0.0480 | 0.000275 | 0.00102 |
| 25 | 0.0400 | 0.000275 | 0.00102 |
| 26 | 0.0390 | 0.000300 | 0.00102 |
| 27 | 0.0380 | 0.000325 | 0.00120 |
| 28 | 0.0370 | 0.000350 | 0.00120 |
| 29 | 0.0360 | 0.000375 | 0.00126 |
| 30 | 0.0350 | 0.000395 | 0.00138 |
| 31 | 0.0330 | 0.000420 | 0.00144 |
| 32 | 0.0310 | 0.000445 | 0.00162 |
| 33 | 0.0290 | 0.000470 | 0.00180 |
| 34 | 0.0270 | 0.000495 | 0.00186 |
| 35 | 0.0250 | 0.000515 | 0.00204 |
| 36 | 0.0220 | 0.000565 | 0.00210 |
| 37 | 0.0190 | 0.000590 | 0.00228 |
| 38 | 0.0160 | 0.000640 | 0.00240 |
| 39 | 0.0130 | 0.000685 | 0.00252 |
| 40 | 0.0100 | 0.000735 | 0.00270 |
| 41 | 0.0100 | 0.000760 | 0.00282 |
| 42 | 0.0100 | 0.000830 | 0.00306 |
| 43 | 0.0100 | 0.000930 | 0.00318 |
| 44 | 0.0100 | 0.001000 | 0.00342 |
| 45 | 0.0100 | 0.001050 | 0.00360 |
| 46 | 0.0090 | 0.001145 | 0.00396 |
| 47 | 0.0080 | 0.001295 | 0.00432 |
| 48 | 0.0070 | 0.001390 | 0.00462 |
| 49 | 0.0060 | 0.001490 | 0.00510 |
| 50 | 0.0050 | 0.001610 | 0.00552 |
| 51 | 0.0040 | 0.001730 | 0.00606 |
| 52 | 0.0030 | 0.001795 | 0.00672 |
| 53 | 0.0020 | 0.001910 | 0.00750 |
| 54 | 0.0010 | 0.002025 | 0.00822 |
| 55 | 0.0000 | 0.002145 | 0.00930 |
| 56 | 0.0000 | 0.002265 | 0.01068 |
| 57 | 0.0000 | 0.002385 | 0.01200 |
| 58 | 0.0000 | 0.002510 | 0.01356 |
| 59 | 0.0000 | 0.002635 | 0.01554 |
| 60 | 0.0000 | 0.000000 | 0.00000 |


| SERVICE | RATES OF RETIREMIENT* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 0.05 |
| 6 | 0.05 |
| 7 | 0.05 |
| 8 | 0.05 |
| 9 | 0.05 |
| 10 | 0.05 |
| 11 | 0.05 |
| 12 | 0.05 |
| 13 | 0.05 |
| 14 | 0.05 |
| 15 | 0.05 |
| 16 | 0.05 |
| 17 | 0.05 |
| 18 | 0.05 |
| 19 | 0.05 |
| 20 | 0.10 |
| 21 | 0.10 |
| 22 | 0.10 |
| 23 | 0.15 |
| 24 | 0.15 |
| 25 | 0.15 |
| 26 | 0.15 |
| 27 | 0.15 |
| 28 | 0.25 |
| 29 | 0.25 |
| 30 | 0.25 |
| 31 | 0.25 |
| 32 | 0.25 |
| 33 | 0.25 |
| 34 | 0.25 |
| $35+$ | 0.25 |

* The annual rate of service retirement is $100 \%$ at age 60 .

TABLE 4
SUPPLEMENTAL LEGISLATIVE RETIREMENT SYSTEM RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

| AGE | RATES OF <br> DEATH <br> MALES | RATES OF <br> DEATH <br> FEMALES | $\begin{gathered} \text { RATES } \\ \text { OF } \\ \text { DISABILITY } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.00024 | 0.00012 | 0.0004 |
| 21 | 0.00026 | 0.00013 | 0.0004 |
| 22 | 0.00026 | 0.00014 | 0.0005 |
| 23 | 0.00027 | 0.00015 | 0.0005 |
| 24 | 0.00029 | 0.00016 | 0.0005 |
| 25 | 0.00030 | 0.00017 | 0.0005 |
| 26 | 0.00031 | 0.00017 | 0.0006 |
| 27 | 0.00033 | 0.00018 | 0.0006 |
| 28 | 0.00034 | 0.00019 | 0.0007 |
| 29 | 0.00036 | 0.00020 | 0.0007 |
| 30 | 0.00038 | 0.00022 | 0.0007 |
| 31 | 0.00040 | 0.00023 | 0.0008 |
| 32 | 0.00043 | 0.00026 | 0.0009 |
| 33 | 0.00046 | 0.00027 | 0.0010 |
| 34 | 0.00049 | 0.00029 | 0.0011 |
| 35 | 0.00052 | 0.00031 | 0.0011 |
| 36 | 0.00056 | 0.00033 | 0.0012 |
| 37 | 0.00060 | 0.00035 | 0.0013 |
| 38 | 0.00065 | 0.00037 | 0.0014 |
| 39 | 0.00070 | 0.00040 | 0.0016 |
| 40 | 0.00076 | 0.00044 | 0.0017 |
| 41 | 0.00082 | 0.00047 | 0.0018 |
| 42 | 0.00089 | 0.00050 | 0.0019 |
| 43 | 0.00100 | 0.00054 | 0.0021 |
| 44 | 0.00113 | 0.00060 | 0.0022 |
| 45 | 0.00129 | 0.00065 | 0.0023 |
| 46 | 0.00146 | 0.00070 | 0.0025 |
| 47 | 0.00166 | 0.00076 | 0.0026 |
| 48 | 0.00187 | 0.00083 | 0.0027 |
| 49 | 0.00212 | 0.00090 | 0.0028 |
| 50 | 0.00237 | 0.00099 | 0.0030 |
| 51 | 0.00264 | 0.00107 | 0.0031 |
| 52 | 0.00294 | 0.00116 | 0.0032 |
| 53 | 0.00324 | 0.00126 | 0.0033 |
| 54 | 0.00356 | 0.00137 | 0.0034 |
| 55 | 0.00390 | 0.00149 | 0.0035 |
| 56 | 0.00426 | 0.00163 | 0.0036 |
| 57 | 0.00463 | 0.00179 | 0.0037 |
| 58 | 0.00502 | 0.00198 | 0.0038 |
| 59 | 0.00545 | 0.00219 | 0.0039 |
| 60 | 0.00596 | 0.00245 | 0.0040 |
| 61 | 0.00656 | 0.00274 | 0.0041 |
| 62 | 0.00722 | 0.00308 | 0.0042 |
| 63 | 0.00793 | 0.00345 | 0.0044 |
| 64 | 0.00870 | 0.00385 | 0.0045 |
| 65 | 0.00959 | 0.00431 | 0.0000 |
| 66 | 0.01063 | 0.00478 | 0.0000 |
| 67 | 0.01182 | 0.00528 | 0.0000 |
| 68 | 0.01316 | 0.00581 | 0.0000 |
| 69 | 0.01460 | 0.00644 | 0.0000 |
| 70 | 0.01613 | 0.00717 | 0.0000 |
| 71 | 0.01775 | 0.00800 | 0.0000 |
| 72 | 0.01947 | 0.00893 | 0.0000 |
| 73 | 0.02129 | 0.00996 | 0.0000 |
| 74 | 0.02320 | 0.01109 | 0.0000 |
| 75 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.0000 |

- Withdrawal and Vesting: $20 \%$ in an election year, none in a non-election year.
- Service Retirement: $25 \%$ in an election year, non in a non-election year. All members assumed to retire no later than age 75.

TABLE 5
MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM
RATES OF SEPARATION FROM ACTIVE SERVICE

| AGE | RATES OF WITHDRAWAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RATES } \\ & \text { OF } \\ & \text { DEATH } \end{aligned}$ | RATESOFDISABILITY | RATES OF RETIREMENT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | SERVICE | RATE* |
| 20 | 0.10650 | 0.00060 | 0.00140 | 20 | 0.450 |
| 21 | 0.10248 | 0.00064 | 0.00160 | 21 | 0.175 |
| 22 | 0.09846 | 0.00068 | 0.00180 | 22 | 0.175 |
| 23 | 0.09444 | 0.00072 | 0.00200 | 23 | 0.175 |
| 24 | 0.09042 | 0.00076 | 0.00220 | 24 | 0.175 |
| 25 | 0.08640 | 0.00080 | 0.00240 | 25 | 0.175 |
| 26 | 0.08286 | 0.00088 | 0.00280 | 26 | 0.175 |
| 27 | 0.07932 | 0.00096 | 0.00320 | 27 | 0.175 |
| 28 | 0.07578 | 0.00104 | 0.00360 | 28 | 0.175 |
| 29 | 0.07224 | 0.00112 | 0.00400 | 29 | 0.350 |
| 30 | 0.06870 | 0.00120 | 0.00440 | 30 | 0.350 |
| 31 | 0.06468 | 0.00128 | 0.00504 | 31 | 0.350 |
| 32 | 0.06066 | 0.00136 | 0.00568 | 32 | 0.350 |
| 33 | 0.05664 | 0.00144 | 0.00632 | 33 | 0.350 |
| 34 | 0.05262 | 0.00152 | 0.00696 | 34 | 0.200 |
| 35 | 0.04860 | 0.00160 | 0.00760 | $35+$ | 0.200 |
| 36 | 0.04482 | 0.00172 | 0.00800 |  |  |
| 37 | 0.04104 | 0.00184 | 0.00840 |  |  |
| 38 | 0.03726 | 0.00196 | 0.00880 |  |  |
| 39 | 0.03348 | 0.00208 | 0.00920 |  |  |
| 40 | 0.02970 | 0.00220 | 0.00960 |  |  |
| 41 | 0.02664 | 0.00238 | 0.01004 |  |  |
| 42 | 0.02358 | 0.00256 | 0.01048 |  |  |
| 43 | 0.02052 | 0.00274 | 0.01092 |  |  |
| 44 | 0.01746 | 0.00292 | 0.01136 |  |  |
| 45 | 0.01440 | 0.00310 | 0.01180 |  |  |
| 46 | 0.01200 | 0.00344 | 0.01340 |  |  |
| 47 | 0.00960 | 0.00378 | 0.01500 |  |  |
| 48 | 0.00720 | 0.00412 | 0.01660 |  |  |
| 49 | 0.00480 | 0.00446 | 0.01820 |  |  |
| 50 | 0.00240 | 0.00480 | 0.01980 |  |  |
| 51 | 0.00000 | 0.00512 | 0.02136 |  |  |
| 52 |  | 0.00544 | 0.02292 |  |  |
| 53 |  | 0.00576 | 0.02448 |  |  |
| 54 |  | 0.00608 | 0.02604 |  |  |
| 55 |  | 0.00640 | 0.02760 |  |  |
| 56 |  | 0.00678 | 0.02908 |  |  |
| 57 |  | 0.00716 | 0.03056 |  |  |
| 58 |  | 0.00754 | 0.03204 |  |  |
| 59 |  | 0.00792 | 0.03352 |  |  |
| 60 |  | 0.00830 | 0.03500 |  |  |
| 61 |  | 0.00870 | 0.03685 |  |  |
| 62 |  | 0.00910 | 0.03870 |  |  |
| 63 |  | 0.00950 | 0.04055 |  |  |
| 64 |  | 0.00990 | 0.04240 |  |  |
| 65 |  | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |  |  |

* The annual rate of service retirement is $100 \%$ at age 65 .

TABLE 6
RATES OF ANTICIPATED SALARY INCREASES* (For Both Males and Females)

| SERVICE | PERS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.1950 |
| 1 | 0.0950 |
| 2 | 0.0700 |
| 3 | 0.0600 |
| 4 | 0.0550 |
| 5 | 0.0500 |
| 6 | 0.0500 |
| 7 | 0.0500 |
| 8 | 0.0450 |
| 9 | 0.0450 |
| 10 | 0.0450 |
| 11 | 0.0450 |
| 12 | 0.0450 |
| 13 | 0.0450 |
| 14 | 0.0450 |
| 15 | 0.0450 |
| 16 | 0.0450 |
| 17 | 0.0450 |
| 18 | 0.0450 |
| 19 | 0.0450 |
| 20 | 0.0450 |
| 21 | 0.0450 |
| 22 | 0.0450 |
| 23 | 0.0450 |
| 24 | 0.0450 |
| 25 | 0.0450 |
| 26 | 0.0450 |
| 27 | 0.0450 |
| 28 | 0.0425 |
| 29 | 0.0425 |
| 30 | 0.0425 |
| 31 | 0.0425 |
| 32 | 0.0425 |
| 33 | 0.0425 |
| 34 | 0.0425 |
| 35 | 0.0425 |
| 36 | 0.0425 |
| 37 | 0.0425 |
| 38 | 0.0425 |
| 39 | 0.0425 |
| 40 | 0.0425 |


| AGE | HSPRS | SLRP | MRS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 0.09841 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 21 | 0.09455 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 22 | 0.08048 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 23 | 0.07646 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 24 | 0.07212 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 25 | 0.06570 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 26 | 0.06250 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 27 | 0.06150 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 28 | 0.05750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 29 | 0.05750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 30 | 0.05750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 31 | 0.05750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 32 | 0.05750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 33 | 0.05750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 34 | 0.05750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 35 | 0.05750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 36 | 0.05750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 37 | 0.05750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 38 | 0.05750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 39 | 0.05750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 40 | 0.05750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 41 | 0.05750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.060 |
| 42 | 0.05750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.060 |
| 43 | 0.05250 | 0.. 0425 | 0.055 |
| 44 | 0.05250 | 0.. 0425 | 0.055 |
| 45 | 0.05250 | 0.. 0425 | 0.055 |
| 46 | 0.05250 | 0.. 0425 | 0.055 |
| 47 | 0.05250 | 0.. 0425 | 0.055 |
| 48 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.050 |
| 49 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.050 |
| 50 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.050 |
| 51 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.050 |
| 52 | 0.04750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.050 |
| 53 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 54 | 0.04750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.045 |
| 55 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 56 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 57 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 58 | 0.04750 | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 59 | 0.04750 | $0 . .0425$ | 0.045 |
| 60 | 0.00000 | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 61 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 62 |  | $0 . .0425$ | 0.045 |
| 63 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 64 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 65 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 66 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 67 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 68 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 69 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 70 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 71 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 72 |  | $0 . .0425$ | 0.045 |
| 73 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 74 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |
| 75 |  | 0.. 0425 | 0.045 |

[^4]TABLE 7

## ALL SYSTEMS

## RATES OF MORTALITY FOR MEMBERS RETIRED ON ACCOUNT OF SERVICE AND BENEFICIARIES OF DECEASED MEMBERS

| AGE | MALES | FEMALES | AGE | MALES | FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0.000221 | 0.000130 | 71 | 0.020825 | 0.015984 |
| 20 | 0.000227 | 0.000128 | 72 | 0.023233 | 0.017778 |
| 21 | 0.000237 | 0.000125 | 73 | 0.025929 | 0.019270 |
| 22 | 0.000245 | 0.000126 | 74 | 0.028900 | 0.021358 |
| 23 | 0.000258 | 0.000132 | 75 | 0.032972 | 0.022993 |
| 24 | 0.000273 | 0.000138 | 76 | 0.036640 | 0.025332 |
| 25 | 0.000297 | 0.000146 | 77 | 0.041765 | 0.028612 |
| 26 | 0.000338 | 0.000158 | 78 | 0.047599 | 0.031540 |
| 27 | 0.000363 | 0.000165 | 79 | 0.054637 | 0.034821 |
| 28 | 0.000392 | 0.000174 | 80 | 0.062604 | 0.038490 |
| 29 | 0.000440 | 0.000183 | 81 | 0.071568 | 0.042601 |
| 30 | 0.000496 | 0.000205 | 82 | 0.081626 | 0.047227 |
| 31 | 0.000557 | 0.000251 | 83 | 0.090607 | 0.052439 |
| 32 | 0.000619 | 0.000286 | 84 | 0.103019 | 0.058321 |
| 33 | 0.000682 | 0.000314 | 85 | 0.114132 | 0.066628 |
| 34 | 0.000742 | 0.000338 | 86 | 0.126336 | 0.076203 |
| 35 | 0.000798 | 0.000360 | 87 | 0.143174 | 0.087152 |
| 36 | 0.000850 | 0.000380 | 88 | 0.161806 | 0.097072 |
| 37 | 0.000901 | 0.000399 | 89 | 0.176240 | 0.110532 |
| 38 | 0.000928 | 0.000420 | 90 | 0.195953 | 0.122153 |
| 39 | 0.000958 | 0.000444 | 91 | 0.211384 | 0.134140 |
| 40 | 0.000994 | 0.000484 | 92 | 0.232553 | 0.146213 |
| 41 | 0.001036 | 0.000530 | 93 | 0.248135 | 0.162113 |
| 42 | 0.001087 | 0.000584 | 94 | 0.263361 | 0.173875 |
| 43 | 0.001144 | 0.000642 | 95 | 0.285214 | 0.185013 |
| 44 | 0.001195 | 0.000705 | 96 | 0.299904 | 0.195353 |
| 45 | 0.001250 | 0.000751 | 97 | 0.314087 | 0.209923 |
| 46 | 0.001307 | 0.000797 | 98 | 0.336045 | 0.218415 |
| 47 | 0.001367 | 0.000842 | 99 | 0.349769 | 0.225671 |
| 48 | 0.001429 | 0.000911 | 100 | 0.362504 | 0.231601 |
| 49 | 0.001595 | 0.000984 | 101 | 0.383040 | 0.244834 |
| 50 | 0.001694 | 0.001092 | 102 | 0.392003 | 0.254498 |
| 51 | 0.001805 | 0.001237 | 103 | 0.397886 | 0.266044 |
| 52 | 0.001929 | 0.001419 | 104 | 0.400000 | 0.279055 |
| 53 | 0.002187 | 0.001632 | 105 | 0.400000 | 0.293116 |
| 54 | 0.002535 | 0.001885 | 106 | 0.400000 | 0.307811 |
| 55 | 0.002905 | 0.002223 | 107 | 0.400000 | 0.322725 |
| 56 | 0.003348 | 0.002658 | 108 | 0.400000 | 0.337441 |
| 57 | 0.003872 | 0.003068 | 109 | 0.400000 | 0.351544 |
| 58 | 0.004508 | 0.003461 | 110 | 0.400000 | 0.364617 |
| 59 | 0.005129 | 0.003918 | 111 | 0.400000 | 0.376246 |
| 60 | 0.005851 | 0.004460 | 112 | 0.400000 | 0.386015 |
| 61 | 0.006862 | 0.005129 | 113 | 0.400000 | 0.393507 |
| 62 | 0.007731 | 0.005873 | 114 | 0.400000 | 0.398308 |
| 63 | 0.008953 | 0.006747 | 115 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 64 | 0.010129 | 0.007604 | 116 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 65 | 0.011300 | 0.008563 | 117 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 66 | 0.012885 | 0.009664 | 118 | 1.000000 | 0.400000 |
| 67 | 0.014277 | 0.010730 | 119 | 1.000000 | 0.400000 |
| 68 | 0.015610 | 0.011861 | 120 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 69 | 0.017271 | 0.013110 |  |  |  |
| 70 | 0.018697 | 0.014770 |  |  |  |

TABLE 8
ALL SYSTEMS

## RATES OF MORTALITY FOR MEMBERS RETIRED ON ACCOUNT OF DISABILITY

| AGE | MALES | FEMALES | AGE | MALES | FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 71 | 0.056909 | 0.045769 |
| 20 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 72 | 0.059613 | 0.048895 |
| 21 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 73 | 0.062583 | 0.052230 |
| 22 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 74 | 0.065841 | 0.055777 |
| 23 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 75 | 0.069405 | 0.059545 |
| 24 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 76 | 0.073292 | 0.063545 |
| 25 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 77 | 0.077512 | 0.067793 |
| 26 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 78 | 0.082067 | 0.072312 |
| 27 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 79 | 0.086951 | 0.077135 |
| 28 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 80 | 0.092149 | 0.082298 |
| 29 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 81 | 0.097640 | 0.087838 |
| 30 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 82 | 0.103392 | 0.093794 |
| 31 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 83 | 0.109372 | 0.100203 |
| 32 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 84 | 0.115544 | 0.107099 |
| 33 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 85 | 0.121877 | 0.114512 |
| 34 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 86 | 0.128343 | 0.122464 |
| 35 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 87 | 0.134923 | 0.130972 |
| 36 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 88 | 0.141603 | 0.140049 |
| 37 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 89 | 0.148374 | 0.149698 |
| 38 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 90 | 0.155235 | 0.159924 |
| 39 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 91 | 0.162186 | 0.170433 |
| 40 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 92 | 0.169233 | 0.182799 |
| 41 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 93 | 0.183408 | 0.194509 |
| 42 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 94 | 0.199769 | 0.205379 |
| 43 | 0.022571 | 0.007450 | 95 | 0.216605 | 0.215240 |
| 44 | 0.022571 | 0.008184 | 96 | 0.233662 | 0.223947 |
| 45 | 0.022571 | 0.008959 | 97 | 0.250693 | 0.231387 |
| 46 | 0.022571 | 0.009775 | 98 | 0.267491 | 0.237467 |
| 47 | 0.022571 | 0.010634 | 99 | 0.283905 | 0.244834 |
| 48 | 0.022571 | 0.011535 | 100 | 0.299852 | 0.254498 |
| 49 | 0.023847 | 0.012477 | 101 | 0.315296 | 0.266044 |
| 50 | 0.025124 | 0.013456 | 102 | 0.330207 | 0.279055 |
| 51 | 0.026404 | 0.014465 | 103 | 0.344556 | 0.293116 |
| 52 | 0.027687 | 0.015497 | 104 | 0.358628 | 0.307811 |
| 53 | 0.028975 | 0.016544 | 105 | 0.371685 | 0.322725 |
| 54 | 0.030268 | 0.017598 | 106 | 0.383040 | 0.337441 |
| 55 | 0.031563 | 0.018654 | 107 | 0.392003 | 0.351544 |
| 56 | 0.032859 | 0.019710 | 108 | 0.397886 | 0.364617 |
| 57 | 0.034152 | 0.020768 | 109 | 0.400000 | 0.376246 |
| 58 | 0.035442 | 0.021839 | 110 | 0.400000 | 0.386015 |
| 59 | 0.036732 | 0.022936 | 111 | 0.400000 | 0.393507 |
| 60 | 0.038026 | 0.024080 | 112 | 0.400000 | 0.398308 |
| 61 | 0.039334 | 0.025293 | 113 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 62 | 0.040668 | 0.026600 | 114 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 63 | 0.042042 | 0.028026 | 115 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 64 | 0.043474 | 0.029594 | 116 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 65 | 0.044981 | 0.031325 | 117 | 0.400000 | 0.400000 |
| 66 | 0.046584 | 0.033234 | 118 | 0.400000 | 1.000000 |
| 67 | 0.048307 | 0.035335 | 119 | 0.400000 | 1.000000 |
| 68 | 0.050174 | 0.037635 | 120 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 69 | 0.052213 | 0.040140 |  |  |  |
| 70 | 0.054450 | 0.042851 |  |  |  |


[^0]:    * current assumption is net of investment and administrative expenses and proposed assumption is net of investment expenses only.

[^1]:    * current assumption is net of investment and administrative expenses and proposed assumption is net of investment expenses only.

[^2]:    *For all ages, rates of $32 \%$ for the first year of employment and $22 \%$ for the second year of employment.
    **For Tier 4 members, 30 years of service.

[^3]:    *For all ages, rates of $32 \%$ for the first year of employment and $22 \%$ for the second year of employment.
    **For Tier 4 members, 30 years of service.

[^4]:    * Includes inflation of 4.25\%

