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Since 1985, the benefit structure of the Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) of Mississippi has undergone significant improvements as noted in the table below (the entire HSPRS history of benefit improvements is listed in Appendix C).

| Fiscal Year Beginning | Benefit Improvement |
| :---: | :---: |
| July 1, 1985 | - Final average compensation calculated using the highest four consecutive years (reduced from highest five consecutive years) <br> - Liberalized survivor benefit provision to reduce the marriage requirement from 5 years to 1 year and to allow a member to designate a child as beneficiary <br> - Minimum benefit increased from $\$ 5.00$ to $\$ 7.50$ per month for each year of creditable service for current and future retirees <br> - Eligibility for service retirement reduced from 10 years to 4 years at age 60 <br> - Established "discretionary" COLA provision in addition to the base COLA provision to be paid to eligible retirees based on sufficient actuarial gains <br> - $3 \%$ ad hoc increase for all retirees |
| July 1, 1986 | - Eligibility for non-duty related disability retirement reduced from 10 years to 4 years <br> - Permanent exemption from 3\% penalty for those required to retire at age 60 <br> - Retirement incentive granted - one additional year of credit to any member with 30 years of service credit or age 60 |
| July 1, 1987 | - Established service retirement eligibility based on 25 \& out with reduced benefits <br> - Benefit accrual increased from $1-5 / 8 \%$ to $1-3 / 4 \%$ for the first 20 years <br> - Minimum benefit increased from $\$ 7.50$ to $\$ 10.00$ per month for each year of service for current and future retirees <br> - $5 \%$ ad hoc increase for all retirees <br> - Provided elected official leave credit |
| July 1, 1989 | - Unreduced retirement at age 55 with 25 years of service <br> - Benefit accrual increased from 1-3/4\% to 1-7/8\% for the first 30 years of service <br> - Unreduced retirement lowered from age 65 to age 60 <br> - $5 \%$ ad hoc increase for all retirees |
| July 1, 1990 | - Provided that base COLA percentage granted shall be cumulative from year to year |
| July 1, 1991 | - Unreduced retirement at any age with 25 years of service <br> - Benefit accrual increased to $2 \%$ for all years of service over 25 |


| Fiscal Year Beginning | Benefit Improvement |
| :---: | :---: |
| July 1, 1992 | - Ad hoc increase for those retired prior to July 1, 1991, with more than 25 years of service <br> - Tiered disability benefit <br> - Expanded survivor benefits to include automatic spousal and dependent child benefits <br> - Liberalized definition of average compensation to provide that the highest four years did not have to be consecutive years <br> - Expanded military service credit to include all active duty military <br> - Removed reference to "Governor's Salary" and established maximum compensation cap at $\$ 125,000$ |
| July 1, 1994 | - Benefits for all retirees under Options 2(5) and 4A(5) were recalculated to remove the reduction imposed for the right to revert to the Maximum |
| July 1, 1999 | - Benefit accrual increased from $2 \%$ to $2-1 / 4 \%$ for all years of service over 25 for current and future retirees <br> - Base COLA increased to $3 \%$ simple up to age 55 and $3 \%$ compounded after age 55 <br> - Reemployed retiree COLA will be based on all fiscal years in retirement, not just the fiscal years in retirement since the last retirement. <br> - Provided that the COLA will be prorated and paid to the beneficiary of a retiree or beneficiary who is receiving the COLA in a lump sum and who dies between July 1 and December 1 |
| July 1, 2000 | - Benefit accrual increased from $1-7 / 8 \%$ to $2 \%$ for all years of service over 10 and less than 25 for current and future retirees |
| July 1, 2001 | - Benefit accrual increased from 1-7/8\% to $2 \%$ for all years of service over 5 and less than 25 for current and future retirees |
| July 1, 2002 | - Benefit accrual increased from $1-7 / 8 \%$ to $2 \%$ for all years of service up to and including 25 and from $2-1 / 4 \%$ to $2-1 / 2 \%$ for all years of service over 25 for current and future retirees <br> - Increased maximum compensation cap to $\$ 150,000$ <br> - Provided for free active duty military service for pre-1972 service in the Commissioned Corps of the U.S. Public Health Service for those retiring on or after July 1, 2002 <br> - Reemployed retiree who has previously been retired for at least one full fiscal year no longer has to wait another full fiscal year for his or her COLA to resume <br> - A local county or municipal elected official who is receiving retirement benefits may receive a salary for the elected position that does not exceed $25 \%$ of the retiree's average compensation |

## Section I - Executive Summary

| Fiscal Year <br> Beginning | Benefit Improvement |
| :--- | :--- |
| July 1, 2004 | Removed remarriage penalty on certain spouse / survivor benefits <br> and provided upon application for the reinstatement of spouse <br> survivor benefits previously terminated due to remarriage |
| July 1, 2008 | Maximum reportable earned compensation was increased from <br> $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 230,000$ to coincide with the compensation limit set <br> pursuant to Section 401(a)(17) of the Internal Revenue Code |
| July 1, 2010 | •Members who retire on or after July 1, 2010 receive additional <br> credit toward retirement for one-half day of leave for each full <br> fiscal year of membership service accrued after June 30, 2010 <br> Option 4, a 75\% joint and survivor annuity, made available to <br> members who retire on or after January 1, 2011 |

The few exceptions to these improvements have occurred over the past few years and have only affected new hires. First, there was an increase in the service requirement for vesting from 4 years to 8 years for those who became members after July 1, 2007. Then, for those who became members after July 1, 2011, benefits were reduced for those retiring at age 60 with less than 30 years of service, the $2.5 \%$ retirement multiplier was moved out to 30 years from 25 years, there was an increase in the service requirement for retirement from 25 years to 30 years and the age for post-retirement adjustments to be compounded was changed from age 55 to age 60 .

Between 1985 and 2005, there was only one increase in the employer contribution rate from $8.75 \%$ to $9.75 \%$ effective January 1, 1990. However, over the past 7 years, the employer contribution rate has increased from $9.75 \%$ to $15.83 \%$ (under the old funding policy) and is estimated to increase even further over the next few years. In addition, the employee contribution rate was increased from $6.00 \%$ to $6.50 \%$ effective July 1, 1989, to $7.25 \%$ effective July 1, 1991 and to $9.00 \%$ effective July 1, 2010.

The majority of the additional cost for the improvements made since 1985 has been covered by the excellent investment return of the System's assets. However, the negative returns for the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years have caused the estimated employer contribution rates to increase and the funding ratio to decrease. The ten year rolling returns have been:

| Ten Year Period Ending <br> June 30 | Ten Year Annualized Rate of Return |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | $9.7 \%$ |
| 1987 | 9.3 |
| 1988 | 9.6 |
| 1989 | 10.3 |
| 1990 | 11.5 |
| 1991 | 13.6 |
| 1992 | 14.2 |
| 1993 | 12.4 |
| 1994 | 12.2 |
| 1995 | 11.3 |
| 1996 | 10.7 |
| 1997 | 11.6 |
| 1998 | 13.2 |
| 1999 | 12.9 |
| 2000 | 12.7 |
| 2001 | 10.8 |
| 2002 | 8.6 |
| 2003 | 7.8 |
| 2004 | 9.1 |
| 2005 | 8.4 |
| 2006 | 8.0 |
| 2007 | 7.9 |
| 2008 | 5.1 |
| 2009 | 1.7 |
| 2010 | 2.3 |
| 2011 | 5.4 |
| 2012 | 6.1 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Section I - Executive Summary

The following report is being provided to the Board of Trustees of the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi to provide a picture of the funding progress over time and to review funding goals and benchmarks for the new funding policy that was adopted for the PERS and SLRP Plans by the Board at the October 23, 2012 Board meeting (the HSPRS Administrative Board is currently working on a new funding policy). The objective of the new funding policy is the same as the prior policy - to accumulate sufficient assets during a member's employment to fully finance the benefit the member receives throughout retirement. However, some new funding goals and benchmarks were introduced in order to reach that objective:

- To maintain an increasing funding ratio and reach an 80 percent minimum funding ratio in 2042;
- To develop a pattern of stable contribution rates ( $15.75 \%$ of payroll for PERS and $7.40 \%$ of payroll for SLRP for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2013) with a minimum employer contribution equal to the normal cost under the Entry Age Normal Cost Method.

In order to meet these new funding goals and benchmarks, 30 year projections are necessary to determine whether the funding ratio target of $80 \%$ can be reached in the year 2042. If the projected funded ratio is less than $75 \%$ in 2042, a contribution rate increase will be determined that is sufficient to generate a funded ratio of $85 \%$ in 2042. If a funded ratio of $100 \%$ or more is attained and is projected to remain above $100 \%$ for the ensuing 30 years, a reduced contribution pattern will be established provided the funded ratio remains at or above $100 \%$ in every future year.

Therefore, we have produced 30 year projections for each of the PERS, HSPRS and SLRP plans, which are shown in the next 3 sections of the report.

Regular actuarial valuations measure PERS' present financial position and contribution adequacy by calculating and financing the liabilities created by the present benefit program. This process involves discounting to present values the future benefit payments on behalf of present active and retired members and their survivors. However, valuations do not produce information regarding future changes in the makeup of the covered group or the amounts of benefits to be paid or investment income to be received actuarial projections do.

Whereas valuations provide a snapshot of PERS as of a given date, projections provide a moving picture. Projected active and retired groups are developed from year to year by the application of assumptions regarding pre-retirement withdrawal from service, retirements, deaths, disabilities, and the addition of new members. Projected information regarding the retired life group leads to assumed future benefit payouts. Performing actuarial valuations every year during the projection period generates expected contribution rates and unfunded accrued liability (UAL) amortization periods. Combining future benefit payments with assumed contributions based on periodic valuations of the projected membership and expected investment earnings produces the net cash flow of the System each year, and thus end of year asset levels. Finally, the valuation results permit the development of the funding ratio trend line for the entire projection period.

Projections are used for many purposes. Among them are (i) developing cash flow patterns for investment policy and asset mix consideration, (ii) exploring the effect of alternative assumptions about future experience, and (iii) analyzing the impact on plan funding progress of changes in the workforce.

## Section I - Executive Summary

Projection results are useful in demonstrating changing relationships among key elements affecting plan financial activity (e.g., how benefits payable and plan assets will grow in future decades). Projections are not predictions of specific future events and do not provide numeric precision in absolute terms. For instance, cash flow projected to occur 10 years in the future will not be exact (except by coincidence), but understanding the changed relationship between future benefit payout and future investment income can be very useful.

The projection of System finances over 30 years requires an assumption regarding future new entrants to the Systems as well as the regular valuation assumptions used to estimate the timing of future events for existing members. As members are assumed to terminate service for any reason, they are replaced with a sufficient number of new entrants to keep the active population constant in number. Valuations are then performed on the projected active and retired membership for each of the thirty years of the study.

The main results from the study (details can be found in the following sections of this report) are noted on the following pages.

## For PERS:

- Utilizing the new funding policy for PERS, with a fixed contribution rate of $15.75 \%$ for the length of the projection period, the projection results show that the Plan will have a funding ratio of $85.1 \%$ in 2042. We have assumed that the fund will earn $8.00 \%$ for each of the projection years and that the actuarial assumptions in the back of this report are experienced. This result meets the funding goals and benchmarks set by the Board in the new funding policy and no change is necessary at this time.
- However, if the long-term investment return assumption is lowered to either $7.75 \%$ or $7.50 \%$, the funding ratio in 2042 is projected to be below $75.0 \%$, which requires the calculation of a contribution increase under the funding policy adopted October 23, 2012.


## For HSPRS:

- Since the Administrative Board of HSPRS has not yet adopted a new funding policy, we have calculated the projection results on the current funding policy (rolling 30 year amortization period) and as an alternative on a funding policy that is similar to PERS but assumes a $39.50 \%$ stable contribution rate in the future.
- Under the current funding policy, the Unfunded Accrued Liability continues to grow at a modest rate and the funding ratio (albeit increasing) is still around $70 \%$. However, the expected Annual Required Contribution Rate drops to about $31.1 \%$ of payroll in the latter years.
- Using a $39.50 \%$ stable contribution for each year of the projection study results in a significantly lower Unfunded Accrued Liability and a funding ratio in 2042 of $80.4 \%$, which would closely meet the goals set by a funding policy similar to PERS.


## Section I - Executive Summary

- However, if the long-term investment return assumption is lowered to either $7.75 \%$ or $7.50 \%$, the funding ratio in 2042 is projected to be below $75.0 \%$, which would require the calculation of a contribution increase under a funding policy similar to the PERS funding policy which was adopted October 23, 2012.


## For SLRP:

- Utilizing the new funding policy for SLRP, with a fixed contribution rate of $7.40 \%$ for the length of the projection period, the projection results show that the Plan will have a funding ratio of $96.4 \%$ in 2042 . We have assumed that the fund will earn $8.00 \%$ for each of the projection years and that the actuarial assumptions in the back of this report are experienced. This result meets the funding goals and benchmarks set by the Board in the new funding policy and no change is necessary at this time.
- If the long-term investment return assumption is lowered to $7.75 \%$ the funding ratio in 2042 is projected to still be above $80.0 \%$, therefore, meeting the funding goals and benchmarks. However, if the long-term investment return assumption is lowered to $7.50 \%$, the funding ratio in 2042 is projected to be below $75.0 \%$, , which requires the calculation of a contribution increase under the funding policy adopted October 23, 2012.

It must be kept in mind that projections do not purport to show exact numerical results over the entire period under study. They do however provide a good basis for drawing conclusions about the likely position of the Systems and the relative impact changes over the years will have on System finances.

## SPECIAL ASSUMPTIONS

In addition to the regular valuation assumptions used in performing the annual actuarial valuations of PERS (all assumptions utilized in the projection study are outlined in Appendix A), additional assumptions must be made that are unique to projections. The first of these is what, if any, change in the overall active membership will be anticipated. For this projection study it was assumed that the number of active members would remain static over the 30 year projection period.

But since we assume active members will leave the system through termination, death, disability or retirement, we need to make some assumptions as to the composition of new hires that will replace departing members in order to maintain the membership at a constant number. The new entrant profile we developed was based on the new hires over the 3 year period prior to the projection start date of June 30 , 2012. That profile is summarized in the table on the following page.

| Age | Average Pay | Percent Male | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | $\$ 20,500$ | $55 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| 23 | 23,000 | 39 | 21.7 |
| 27 | 26,200 | 38 | 20.5 |
| 32 | 26,200 | 37 | 13.0 |
| 38 | 26,300 | 36 | 10.8 |
| 42 | 25,400 | 38 | 9.3 |
| 47 | 25,400 | 40 | 8.0 |
| 52 | 25,600 | 41 | 6.8 |
| 57 | 25,600 | 45 | 4.8 |
| 62 | 25,800 | 55 | 2.3 |
| 69 | 22,600 | 61 | 1.2 |

For the projection results presented in this section of the report, it was further assumed that the benefit structure as it exists on June 30, 2012 would remain in place for the following 30 years.

In addition to projections run with the current $8.0 \%$ discount rate, we are providing projections using discount rates of $7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$. This enables a comparison of the operation of the funding policy under alternative investment return assumptions.

## FUTURE MEMBERSHIP

The following chart and graph show the headcounts of active participants and retired members over the projection period. The actives are broken down into those existing as of June 30, 2012 and those who are hired after June 30, 2012. Since the membership at PERS has been fluctuating up and down over the past few years, we have assumed the active membership will continue at its current population of 162,311 active members over the projected period. By the end of the projection period we estimate that about $98 \%$ of those active employees will have been hired after June 30, 2012 and be included in the Tier 4 benefit structure. After about 20 years, the retiree headcount begins to drop as less current and future active members are expected to reach retirement eligibility.

| Member | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Active - Existing Employees | 162,311 | 99,195 | 60,121 | 17,953 | 2,654 |
| Active - New Entrants | 0 | 63,116 | 102,190 | 144,358 | 159,657 |
| Retired | 86,829 | 97,309 | 104,316 | 105,717 | 93,149 |
| Total | 249,140 | 259,620 | 266,627 | 268,028 | 255,460 |

## RESULTS

The projection of payroll, UAL, normal cost rates, UAL Rate, ARC and Funding Ratios follows for the baseline assumptions as per the new Funding Policy. In addition, the projection results using different long-term investment return assumptions ( $7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$ ) are included.

## Baseline Projection Results

(\$000's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 5,857,789$ | $\$ 6,845,171$ | $\$ 8,216,430$ | $\$ 12,206,016$ | $\$ 18,534,950$ |
| UAL | $\$ 14,500,076$ | $\$ 16,927,948$ | $\$ 18,985,791$ | $\$ 20,780,073$ | $\$ 12,840,201$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $2.21 \%$ | $1.79 \%$ | $1.48 \%$ | $1.20 \%$ | $1.10 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $13.62 \%$ | $13.96 \%$ | $14.27 \%$ | $14.55 \%$ | $14.65 \%$ |
| ARC* | $15.83 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $58.0 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $85.1 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 29 years | 25 years | 16 years | 6 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $15.83 \%$ used the old funding policy.

Projection Results Assuming 7.75\% Long-Term Investment Return (\$000's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 5,857,789$ | $\$ 6,845,171$ | $\$ 8,216,430$ | $\$ 12,206,016$ | $\$ 18,534,950$ |
| UAL | $\$ 14,500,076$ | $\$ 18,333,029$ | $\$ 21,115,299$ | $\$ 25,565,437$ | $\$ 23,612,998$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $2.21 \%$ | $2.45 \%$ | $2.11 \%$ | $1.79 \%$ | $1.69 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $13.62 \%$ | $13.30 \%$ | $13.64 \%$ | $13.96 \%$ | $14.06 \%$ |
| ARC $*$ | $15.83 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $58.0 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 35 years | 31 years | 22 years | 11 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $15.83 \%$ used the old funding policy and the $8 \%$ investment return.

Projection Results Assuming 7.50\% Long-Term Investment Return (\$000's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 5,857,789$ | $\$ 6,845,171$ | $\$ 8,216,430$ | $\$ 12,206,016$ | $\$ 18,534,950$ |
| UAL | $\$ 14,500,076$ | $\$ 19,735,140$ | $\$ 23,226,334$ | $\$ 30,202,997$ | $\$ 33,744,936$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $2.21 \%$ | $3.09 \%$ | $2.72 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ | $2.26 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $13.62 \%$ | $12.66 \%$ | $13.03 \%$ | $13.38 \%$ | $13.49 \%$ |
| ARC* | $15.83 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ | $15.75 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $58.0 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 41 years | 37 years | 28 years | 18 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $15.83 \%$ used the old funding policy and the $8 \%$ investment return.

The following graphs show a comparison in the funding ratio and amortization periods for the old funding policy ("Old Policy - Baseline Rolling Amortization") and the new funding policy ("New Policy - Fixed Contribution Rate $-8.00 \%$ "). In addition, for the new funding policy, we have included two alternative investment return scenarios to each of the graphs to show an optimistic view if the fund earns $1.50 \%$ above the assumed rate each year of the projection study and a pessimistic view if the fund earns $1.50 \%$ less than the assumed rate each year of the projection study. As can be seen from the graphs, even under a pessimistic view of investment returns, the Plan remains solvent over the length of the projection period.

In the first two graphs, the assumed long-term investment return for the projection period is $8.00 \%$, the current assumption from the June 30, 2012 actuarial valuation. To provide the Board a full perspective of financial impact, we have included two other sets of projections that show the System's projected funding ratio and amortization periods if the long term investment return assumption is lowered to $7.75 \%$ or $7.50 \%$. Finally two graphs are provided that compare the funded ratio and amortization periods under the three different investment return assumptions, $8.00 \%, 7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$.
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## SPECIAL ASSUMPTIONS

In addition to the regular valuation assumptions used in performing the annual actuarial valuations of HSPRS (all assumptions utilized in the projection study are outlined in Appendix B), additional assumptions must be made that are unique to projections. The first of these is what, if any, change in the overall active membership will be anticipated. For this projection study it was assumed that the number of active members would remain static over the 30 year projection period.

But since we assume active members will leave the system through termination, death, disability or retirement, we need to make some assumptions as to the composition of new hires that will replace departing members in order to maintain the membership at a constant number. The new entrant profile we developed was based on the new hires over the 3 year period prior to the projection start date of June 30, 2012. That profile is summarized in the table below.

| Age | Average Pay | Percent Male | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | $\$ 39,500$ | $96 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ |
| 27 | 39,500 | 96 | 38.0 |
| 32 | 39,500 | 96 | 25.0 |
| 38 | 39,500 | 96 | 8.0 |
| 42 | 39,500 | 96 | 6.0 |

For the projection results presented in this section of the report, it was further assumed that the benefit structure as it exists on June 30, 2012 would remain in place for the following 30 years.

Since the HSPRS Administrative Board has not officially adopted a new funding policy, we have performed their projections under the current funding policy (rolling 30 year amortization). However, we have also provided the results using a similar approach as the PERS plan where a contribution rate will be made stable (we have assumed $39.50 \%$ ).

In addition to projections run with the current $8.0 \%$ discount rate, we are providing projections using discount rates of $7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$. This enables a comparison of the operation of the funding policy under alternative investment return assumptions.

## FUTURE MEMBERSHIP

The following chart and graph show the headcounts of active participants and retired members over the projection period. The actives are broken down into those existing as of June 30, 2012 and those who are hired after June 30, 2012. We have assumed the active membership will continue at the current population of 547 active members over the projected period.

| Member | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Active - Existing Employees | 547 | 405 | 294 | 91 | 5 |
| Active - New Entrants | 0 | 142 | 253 | 456 | 542 |
| Retired | 713 | 871 | 992 | 1,215 | 1,348 |
| Total | 1,260 | 1,418 | 1,539 | 1,762 | 1,895 |

## ReSULTS

The projection of payroll, UAL, normal cost rates, UAL Rate, ARC and Funding Ratios follows for the baseline assumptions. Since the HSPRS Administrative Board has not yet adopted a new funding policy, we have shown the results under the current funding policy. However, for comparative purposes, we have calculated the projection results using a similar approach to the PERS Plan of a stable contribution rate. Please note that contributions from SB 2659 are assumed to continue to provide an additional $\$ 3,600,000$ annually throughout the projection period under all scenarios. These dollars are in addition to the employer contributions as a percent of payroll shown below. In addition, the projection results using different long-term investment return assumptions ( $7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$ ) are included.

Baseline Projection Results (Current Funding Policy)
(\$000's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 25,670$ | $\$ 30,682$ | $\$ 37,511$ | $\$ 57,525$ | $\$ 90,455$ |
| UAL | $\$ 152,991$ | $\$ 176,923$ | $\$ 196,477$ | $\$ 242,558$ | $\$ 298,440$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $16.33 \%$ | $16.14 \%$ | $15.98 \%$ | $15.83 \%$ | $15.72 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $23.16 \%$ | $23.66 \%$ | $22.22 \%$ | $18.90 \%$ | $15.42 \%$ |
| ARC | $39.49 \%$ | $39.80 \%$ | $38.20 \%$ | $34.73 \%$ | $31.14 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $63.7 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | $65.3 \%$ | $67.6 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 30 years | 30 years | 30 years | 30 years |

Alternative Projection Results at $\mathbf{8 . 0 0 \%}$ (Similar Funding Policy to PERS)
(\$000's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | 2042 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 25,670$ | $\$ 30,682$ | $\$ 37,511$ | $\$ 57,525$ | $\$ 90,455$ |
| UAL | $\$ 152,991$ | $\$ 177,848$ | $\$ 197,886$ | $\$ 228,330$ | $\$ 201,513$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $16.33 \%$ | $16.14 \%$ | $15.98 \%$ | $15.83 \%$ | $15.72 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $23.16 \%$ | $23.36 \%$ | $23.52 \%$ | $23.67 \%$ | $23.78 \%$ |
| ARC | $39.49 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $63.7 \%$ | $63.9 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 32 years | 28 years | 19 years | 9 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $39.49 \%$ used the current funding policy and the $8 \%$ investment return.

Alternative Projection Results at $\mathbf{7 . 7 5 \%}$ (Similar Funding Policy to PERS) (\$000's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 25,670$ | $\$ 30,682$ | $\$ 37,511$ | $\$ 57,525$ | $\$ 90,455$ |
| UAL | $\$ 152,991$ | $\$ 195,547$ | $\$ 223,980$ | $\$ 285,964$ | $\$ 330,804$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $16.33 \%$ | $17.99 \%$ | $17.81 \%$ | $17.62 \%$ | $17.52 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $23.16 \%$ | $21.51 \%$ | $21.69 \%$ | $21.88 \%$ | $21.98 \%$ |
| ARC | $39.49 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $63.7 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 44 years | 40 years | 31 years | 20 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $39.49 \%$ used the current funding policy and the $8 \%$ investment return.

Alternative Projection Results at $\mathbf{7 . 5 0 \%}$ (Similar Funding Policy to PERS) (\$000's)

|  | 2012 | 2017 | 2022 | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | 2042 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 25,670$ | $\$ 30,682$ | $\$ 37,511$ | $\$ 57,525$ | $\$ 90,455$ |
| UAL | $\$ 152,991$ | $\$ 213,206$ | $\$ 249,831$ | $\$ 341,741$ | $\$ 452,187$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $16.33 \%$ | $19.78 \%$ | $19.57 \%$ | $19.36 \%$ | $19.27 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $23.16 \%$ | $19.72 \%$ | $19.93 \%$ | $20.14 \%$ | $20.23 \%$ |
| ARC | $39.49 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $63.7 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 63 years | 61 years | 51 years | 38 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $39.49 \%$ used the current funding policy and the $8 \%$ investment return.

The following graphs show a comparison in the funding ratio and amortization periods for the current funding policy ("Old Policy - Baseline Rolling Amortization") and a possible new funding policy that is similar to the PERS funding policy ("New Policy - Fixed Contribution Rate $-8.00 \%$ "). In addition, for the new funding policy, we have included two alternative investment return scenarios to each of the graphs to show an optimistic view if the fund earns $1.50 \%$ above the assumed rate each year of the projection study and a pessimistic view if the fund earns $1.50 \%$ less than the assumed rate each year of the projection study. As can be seen from the graphs, even under a pessimistic view of investment returns, the Plan remains solvent over the length of the projection period.

In the first two graphs, the assumed long-term investment return for the projection period is $8.00 \%$, the current assumption from the June 30, 2012 actuarial valuation. To provide the Board a full perspective of financial impact, we have included two other sets of projections that show the System's projected funding ratio and amortization periods if the long term investment return assumption is lowered to $7.75 \%$ or $7.50 \%$. Finally two graphs are provided that compare the funded ratio and amortization periods under the three different investment return assumptions, $8.00 \%, 7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$.

Please note that a 100 year amortization period on any of the graphs represents an infinite amortization period, meaning that the current employer rate would never pay off the Unfunded Accrued Liability.
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Section III - HSPRS Projection Results
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## SPECIAL ASSUMPTIONS

In addition to the regular valuation assumptions used in performing the annual actuarial valuations of SLRP (all assumptions utilized in the projection study are outlined in Appendix C), additional assumptions must be made that are unique to projections. The first of these is what, if any, change in the overall active membership will be anticipated. For this projection study it was assumed that the number of active members would remain static over the 30 year projection period.

Since we assume active members will leave the system through termination, death, disability or retirement, we need to make some assumptions as to the composition of new hires that will replace departing members in order to maintain the membership at a constant number. The new entrant profile we developed was based on the new hires over the 3 year period prior to the projection start date of June 30,2012 . That profile is summarized in the table below.

| Age | Average Pay | Percent Male | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | $\$ 40,000$ | $82.0 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| 38 | 40,000 | 82.0 | 20.0 |
| 42 | 40,000 | 82.0 | 20.0 |
| 47 | 40,000 | 82.0 | 20.0 |
| 52 | 40,000 | 82.0 | 13.0 |
| 58 | 40,000 | 82.0 | 12.0 |

For the projection results presented in this section of the report, it was further assumed that the benefit structure as it exists on June 30, 2012 would remain in place for the following 30 years.

In addition to projections run with the current $8.0 \%$ discount rate, we are providing projections using discount rates of $7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$. This enables a comparison of the operation of the funding policy under alternative investment return assumptions.

## FUTURE MEMBERSHIP

The following chart and graph show the headcounts of active participants and retired members over the projection period. The actives are broken down into those existing as of June 30, 2012 and those who are hired after June 30, 2012. We have assumed the active membership will continue at the current maximum population of 175 active members over the projected period. After about 7 years, the retiree headcount begins to drop as less current and future active members are expected to reach retirement eligibility.

| Member | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Active - Existing Employees | 175 | 120 | 83 | 25 | 8 |
| Active - New Entrants | 0 | 55 | 92 | 150 | 167 |
| Retired | 173 | 193 | 190 | 157 | 114 |
| Total | 348 | 368 | 365 | 332 | 289 |

## RESULTS

The projection of payroll, UAL, normal cost rates, UAL Rate, ARC and Funding Ratios follows for the baseline assumptions according to the new funding policy. In addition, the projection results using different long-term investment return assumptions ( $7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$ ) are included.

## Baseline Projection Results

(\$000's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 6,872$ | $\$ 8,614$ | $\$ 10,720$ | $\$ 16,417$ | $\$ 25,081$ |
| UAL | $\$ 6,269$ | $\$ 6,600$ | $\$ 7,196$ | $\$ 6,527$ | $\$ 1,708$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $2.73 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | $2.57 \%$ | $2.51 \%$ | $2.39 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $5.02 \%$ | $4.77 \%$ | $4.83 \%$ | $4.89 \%$ | $5.01 \%$ |
| ARC | $7.75 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $67.9 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ | $96.4 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 25 years | 20 years | 10 years | 2 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $7.75 \%$ used the old funding policy and the $8 \%$ investment return.

Projection Results Assuming 7.75\% Long-Term Investment Return ( $\mathbf{\$ 0 0 0}$ 's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 6,872$ | $\$ 8,614$ | $\$ 10,720$ | $\$ 16,417$ | $\$ 25,081$ |
| UAL | $\$ 6,269$ | $\$ 7,308$ | $\$ 8,300$ | $\$ 9,137$ | $\$ 7,889$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $2.73 \%$ | $2.94 \%$ | $2.87 \%$ | $2.81 \%$ | $2.69 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $5.02 \%$ | $4.46 \%$ | $4.53 \%$ | $4.59 \%$ | $4.71 \%$ |
| ARC | $7.75 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $67.9 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $73.2 \%$ | $83.5 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 31 years | 26 years | 16 years | 8 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $7.75 \%$ used the old funding policy and the $8 \%$ investment return.

Projection Results Assuming 7.50\% Long-Term Investment Return (\$000's)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Payroll | $\$ 6,872$ | $\$ 8,614$ | $\$ 10,720$ | $\$ 16,417$ | $\$ 25,081$ |
| UAL | $\$ 6,269$ | $\$ 8,019$ | $\$ 9,395$ | $\$ 11,664$ | $\$ 13,693$ |
| Normal Cost Rate | $2.73 \%$ | $3.24 \%$ | $3.17 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ | $2.97 \%$ |
| UAL Rate | $5.02 \%$ | $4.16 \%$ | $4.23 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $4.43 \%$ |
| ARC | $7.75 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ | $7.40 \%$ |
| Funding Ratio | $67.9 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |
| Amortization Period | 30 years | 39 years | 34 years | 24 years | 16 years |

* The ARC for 2012 of $7.75 \%$ used the old funding policy and the $8 \%$ investment return.

The following graphs show a comparison in the funding ratio and amortization periods for the old funding policy ("Old Policy - Baseline Rolling Amortization") and the new funding policy ("New Policy - Fixed Contribution Rate $-8.00 \%$ "). In addition, for the new funding policy, we have included two alternative investment return scenarios to each of the graphs to show an optimistic view if the fund earns $1.50 \%$ above the assumed rate each year of the projection study and a pessimistic view if the fund earns $1.50 \%$ less than the assumed rate each year of the projection study. As can be seen from the graphs, even under a pessimistic view of investment returns, the Plan remains solvent over the length of the projection period.

In the first two graphs, the assumed long-term investment return for the projection period is $8.00 \%$, the current assumption from the June 30, 2012 actuarial valuation. To provide the Board a full perspective of financial impact, we have included two other sets of projections that show the System's projected funding ratio and amortization periods if the long term investment return assumption is lowered to $7.75 \%$ or $7.50 \%$. Finally two graphs are provided that compare the funded ratio and amortization periods under the three different investment return assumptions, $8.00 \%, 7.75 \%$ and $7.50 \%$.

Please note that a 100 year amortization period on any of the graphs represents an infinite amortization period, meaning that the current employer rate would never pay off the Unfunded Accrued Liability.









INTEREST RATE: $8.00 \%$ per annum, compounded annually (net after investment expenses).

SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE: Representative values of the assumed rates of separation from active service are as follows:

| Age | Withdrawal and Vesting* |  | Death*** |  | Disability*** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 20 | 22.0\% | 22.0\% | .01\% | .00\% | .01\% | .01\% |
| 25 | 15.0 | 15.0 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 | . 01 |
| 30 | 10.0 | 10.5 | . 02 | . 01 | . 02 | . 02 |
| 35 | 8.0 | 8.0 | . 03 | . 01 | . 05 | . 02 |
| 40 | 6.0 | 6.0 | . 04 | . 02 | . 12 | . 08 |
| 45 | 5.5 | 5.0 | . 07 | . 02 | . 23 | . 14 |
| 50 | 5.5 | 5.0 | . 14 | . 04 | . 29 | . 21 |
| 55 | 5.5 | 5.0 | . 19 | . 06 | . 52 | . 37 |
| 60 | 5.5 | 5.0 | . 22 | . 09 | . 40 | . 32 |
| 65 | 5.5 | 5.0 | . 40 | . 16 |  |  |
| 70 | 5.5 | 5.0 | . 40 | . 27 |  |  |
| 74 | 5.5 | 5.0 | . 40 | . 47 |  |  |


| Age | Annual Rates of Service Retirements |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 25 Years of Service | 25 Years of Service and Over | Under 25 Years of Service | 25 Years of Service and Over |
| 45 |  | 13.0\% |  | 11.0\% |
| 50 |  | 13.0 |  | 11.0 |
| 55 |  | 15.0 |  | 18.0 |
| 60 | 11.0\% | 15.0 | 13.0\% | 20.0 |
| 62 | 19.0 | 30.0 | 18.0 | 30.0 |
| 65 | 20.0 | 28.0 | 25.0 | 38.0 |
| 70 | 17.0 | 20.0 | 19.0 | 25.0 |
| 75 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

* For all ages, rates of $34 \%$ for $1^{\text {st }}$ year of employment and $22 \%$ for $2^{\text {nd }}$ year.
** $94 \%$ are presumed to be non-duty related, and $6 \%$ are assumed to be duty related.

SALARY INCREASES: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of salary increases are as follows:

|  | Annual Rates of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service | Merit \& Seniority | Base (Economy) | Increase Next Year |
| 0 | $15.75 \%$ | $4.25 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ |
| 1 | 10.75 | 4.25 | 10.00 |
| 2 | 10.75 | 4.25 | 7.50 |
| 3 | 2.25 | 4.25 | 6.50 |
| 4 | 1.75 | 4.25 | 6.00 |
| 5 | 1.25 | 4.25 | 5.50 |
| 10 | 0.75 | 4.25 | 5.00 |
| 15 | 0.75 | 4.25 | 5.00 |
| 20 | 0.75 | 4.25 | 5.00 |
| 25 | 0.75 | 4.25 | 5.00 |
| 30 | 0.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 |
| 35 | 0.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 |

PAYROLL GROWTH: $4.25 \%$ per annum, compounded annually.
PRICE INFLATION: 3.50\% per annum, compounded annually.
TIMING OF DECREMENTS AND PAY INCREASES: Middle of Year.
DEATH AFTER RETIREMENT: The mortality table, for post-retirement mortality, used in evaluating allowances to be paid is the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table. The RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table (set back 2 years for males and set forward 3 years for females) was used for the period after disability retirement. This assumption is used to measure the probabilities of each benefit payment being made after retirement. Mortality improvement is anticipated under this assumption as recent mortality experience shows actual deaths $11 \%$ greater than expected under the selected table.

MARRIAGE ASSUMPTION: $85 \%$ married with the husband three years older than his wife.
UNUSED SICK LEAVE: 0.50 years at retirement.
MILITARY SERVICE: 0.25 years at retirement.

VALUATION METHOD: The valuation is prepared on the projected benefit basis, which is used to determine the present value of each member's expected benefit payable at retirement, disability or death. The calculations are based on the member's age, years of service, sex, compensation, expected future salary increases, and an assumed future interest earnings rate (currently $8.00 \%$ ). The calculations consider the probability of a member's death or termination of employment prior to becoming eligible for a benefit and the probability of the member terminating with a service, disability, or survivor's benefit. The present value of the expected benefits payable to active members is added to the present value of the expected future payments to current benefit recipients to obtain the present value of all expected benefits payable to the present group of members and survivors.

The employer contributions required to support the benefits of PERS are determined following a level funding approach, and consist of a normal contribution and an accrued liability contribution.

The normal contribution is determined using the "entry age normal" method. Under this method, a calculation is made for pension benefits to determine the uniform and constant percentage rate of employer contribution which, if applied to the compensation of the average new member during the entire period of his anticipated covered service, would be required in addition to the contributions of the member to meet the cost of all benefits payable on his behalf.

The unfunded accrued liability is determined by subtracting the current assets and the present value of prospective employer normal contributions and member contributions from the present value of expected benefits to be paid from the PERS. The accrued liability contribution amortizes the balance of the unfunded accrued liability over a period of years from the valuation date.

ASSET VALUATION METHOD: Actuarial value, as developed in Schedule A. The actuarial value of assets recognizes a portion of the difference between the market value of assets and the expected market value of assets, based on the assumed valuation rate of return. The amount recognized each year is $20 \%$ of the difference between market value and expected market value.

Appendix B - HSPRS Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

INTEREST RATE: $8.00 \%$ per annum, compounded annually (net after investment expenses).

SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of separation from active service are as follows:

|  |  | Disability |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Withdrawal <br> and <br> Vesting* | Death | Non-Duty | Duty | Service | Service <br> Retirement* |
| 25 | $4.0 \%$ | $.03 \%$ | $.09 \%$ | $.01 \%$ | 5 | $5 \%$ |
| 30 | 3.5 | .04 | .12 | .02 | 10 | $5 \%$ |
| 35 | 2.5 | .05 | .16 | .04 | 15 | $5 \%$ |
| 40 | 1.0 | .07 | .20 | .07 | 20 | $10 \%$ |
| 45 | 1.0 | .11 | .30 | .06 | 25 | $15 \%$ |
| 50 | 0.5 | .16 | .50 | .05 | 30 | $25 \%$ |
| 55 | 0.0 | .21 | .91 | .02 | 35 | $25 \%$ |

* The annual rate of service retirement is $100 \%$ at age 60 .

It is assumed that a member will be granted $13 / 4$ years of service credit for unused leave at termination of employment. In addition, it is assumed that, on average, $1 / 4$ year of service credit for peace-time military service will be granted to each member.

SALARY INCREASES: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of salary increases are as follows:

|  | Annual Rates of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Merit \& Seniority | Base (Economy) | Increase Next Year |
| 25 | $2.57 \%$ | $4.25 \%$ | $6.82 \%$ |
| 30 | 1.75 | 4.25 | 6.00 |
| 35 | 1.75 | 4.25 | 6.00 |
| 40 | 1.75 | 4.25 | 6.00 |
| 45 | 1.25 | 4.25 | 5.50 |
| 50 | 0.75 | 4.25 | 5.00 |
| 55 | 0.75 | 4.25 | 5.00 |

PAYROLL GROWTH: $4.25 \%$ per annum, compounded annually.
PRICE INFLATION: 3.50\% per annum, compounded annually.

## TIMING OF DECREMENT AND PAY INCREASES: Middle of Year.

DEATH AFTER RETIREMENT: The mortality table, for post-retirement mortality, used in evaluating allowances to be paid was the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table. The RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table (set back 2 years for males and set forward 3 years for females) was used for the period after disability retirement. This assumption is used to measure the probabilities of each benefit payment being made after retirement. Mortality improvement is anticipated under this assumption as recent mortality experience shows actual deaths $15 \%$ greater than expected under the selected table.

MARRIAGE ASSUMPTION: $100 \%$ married with the husband three years older than his wife.
VALUATION METHOD: The valuation is prepared on the projected benefit basis, which is used to determine the present value of each member's expected benefit payable at retirement, disability or death. The calculations are based on the member's age, years of service, sex, compensation, expected future salary increases, and an assumed future interest earnings rate (currently $8.00 \%$ ). The calculations consider the probability of a member's death or termination of employment prior to becoming eligible for a benefit and the probability of the member terminating with a service, disability, or survivor's benefit. The present value of the expected benefits payable to active members is added to the present value of the expected future payments to current benefit recipients to obtain the present value of all expected benefits payable to the present group of members and survivors.

The employer contributions required to support the benefits of HSPRS are determined following a level funding approach, and consist of a normal contribution and an accrued liability contribution.

The normal contribution is determined using the "entry age normal" method. Under this method, a calculation is made for pension benefits to determine the uniform and constant percentage rate of employer contribution which, if applied to the compensation of the average new member during the entire period of his anticipated covered service, would be required in addition to the contributions of the member to meet the cost of all benefits payable on his behalf.

The unfunded accrued liability is determined by subtracting the current assets and the present value of prospective employer normal contributions and member contributions from the present value of expected benefits to be paid from the HSPRS. The accrued liability contribution amortizes the balance of the unfunded accrued liability over a period of years from the valuation date.

ASSET VALUATION METHOD: Actuarial value, as developed in Schedule A. The actuarial value of assets recognizes a portion of the difference between the market value of assets and the expected market value of assets, based on the assumed valuation rate of return. The amount recognized each year is $20 \%$ of the difference between market value and expected market value.

## MISSISSIPPI HIGHWAY SAFETY PATROL RETIREMENT SYSTEM History of Benefit Improvements

| Fiscal Year Beginning | Benefit Improvement |
| :---: | :---: |
| July 1, 1958 | - Mississippi Highway Safety Patrol Retirement System created. |
| July 1, 1966 | - Removed limit of $\$ 200$ per month for disability retirement payments. <br> - Eliminated reduction in retirement benefits resulting from Social Security payments. <br> - Provided same survivor benefits to disability retirant's beneficiaries as those provided for service retirant's beneficiaries. |
| July 1, 1974 | - Authorized military service credit (not to exceed 4 years maximum unless proof furnished member was retained by causes beyond his control). |
| July 1, 1975 | - Provided additional benefit payments ( $13^{\text {th }}$ Checks) to retired patrolmen. <br> - Authorized payment of benefits to spouses and families of patrolmen who die after serving minimum period or who are killed in line of duty. |
| July 1, 1976 | - Provided benefits to widows of highway patrolmen who were killed in line of duty prior to enactment of highway patrol retirement system. |
| July 1, 1977 | - Provided that a highway patrolman who reenters service with the highway safety patrol may receive retirement credit for prior years upon repayment of amount refunded and interest from date of refund to repayment. |
| July 1, 1979 | - Provided guaranty of benefits and maximum retirement allowance in the highway safety patrol retirement system. |
| July 1, 1980 | - Provided a minimum service and disability retirement benefit for members of MHSPRS. <br> - Provided any member who served in maritime service during periods of hostility in WWII shall be allowed credit for maritime service. <br> - Provided all members who served in armed forces during war or military conflict or in maritime service during periods of hostility in WWII shall be allowed credit regardless of when they retired. |
| July 1, 1982 | - Provided employer pickup of member contributions. <br> - Increases additional payment (13th check) to $1 / 2$ of annual percentage change of CPI not to exceed $21 / 2 \%$. |
| July 1, 1984 | - Provided that unused leave shall be treated as creditable service under MHSPRS. |
| July 1, 1985 | - Increased 13th check to an amount equal to $21 / 2 \%$ of annual percentage change in CPI for years thru 6-30-85; and for subsequent years $100 \%$ of annual percentage change in CPI not to exceed $21 / 2 \%$; provided an additional amount could be paid in increments of $1 / 4$ of $1 \%$ to a maximum of $11 / 2 \%$ provided there were sufficient gains in excess of accrued liability. |
| July 1, 1986 | - Reduced to 5 years the required years to qualify to retire at age 55 . <br> - Provided full retirement with 30 years creditable service regardless of age. |


| Fiscal Year Beginning | Benefit Improvement |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - Reduced the number of years which determine average compensation to 4 highest consecutive years. <br> - $3 \%$ reduction in retirement allowance shall apply to the lesser of: each year of age below age 55 or each year less 30 years of creditable service. <br> - Provided retirement allowance shall not exceed $85 \%$ of average compensation. <br> - Provided mandatory retirement and termination of membership at age 60 . <br> - Provided no monthly benefit payment may be made for a period of time in excess of that allowed by federal law. <br> - Provided an ad hoc increase of $3 \%$ to retirees who retired prior to July 1, 1986, and average compensation was based on 5 consecutive years of earned compensation instead of 4 . <br> - Provided that a retiree may elect by an irrevocable agreement to receive additional payment (13th check) in equal installments not to exceed 6 months. <br> - Amended section 25-13-13 on death benefits to conform to section 25-13-11 allowing 5 year vesting by deleting 10 year requirement. <br> - Provided a one-time early retirement for any member who had at least 20 years of creditable service; exempted early service retirement allowance from the $3 \%$ reduction if member is below age 55 ; allowance was based on current fiscal year's salary. |
| July 1, 1989 | - Provided survivor benefits from day one of employment to a spouse and/or dependent children of a member who is either killed in the line of performance of duty or dies as a direct result. |
| July 1, 1990 | - Amended section 25-13-11 to reduce from 30 to 25 numbers of years required for full retirement regardless of age. <br> - Provided a $10 \%$ ad hoc increase in annual retirement allowance to retired members and beneficiaries with minimum benefits of $\$ 500.00$ if retired with 15 or more years of service credit; $\$ 300.00$ per month if retired with 10 or more but less than 15 years credit; $\$ 250.00$ per month to anyone with less than 10 years credit; beneficiaries to receive a minimum of $\$ 250.00$ per month. <br> - Established options for service and disability retirees retiring 7-1-90 or later. <br> - Provided an active member qualified for retirement may pre-select an option. <br> - Provided option selection will take precedence over automatic survivor benefits. |
| July 1, 1991 | - Allowed sworn agents of MS Bureau of Narcotics, who were employed by such bureau prior to December 1, 1990, regardless of age, may be employed as enforcement officers, if they meet all other qualifications. Those employed retain all compensatory, personal and sick leave accrued; <br> - Provided cost-of-living payment (13th check) shall be cumulative to conform to PERS law. |


| Fiscal Year Beginning | Benefit Improvement |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - Provided regular interest shall be credited annually to member's employee contribution account. |
| July 1, 1992 | - Provided benefits to dependent children to age 23 if they remain in school. |
| July 1, 1997 | - Allowed retired Highway Patrolmen to irrevocably elect to have COLA (13th check) paid in twelve (12) equal installments. |
| July 1, 1999 | - Provide that if the member and beneficiary die before having received in benefits an amount equal to the total of the contributions and accrued interest of the member at the time of death, that the balance will be refunded to the designated beneficiary or by statutory succession. <br> - Provided that payment of death benefits shall be in accordance with the statutory provisions set forth as of the date of death of the member. <br> - Authorized a retiree who retired before or after July 1, 1999, to be eligible for the same "pop-up" and "pop-down" provisions of PERS; and recalculates the benefits of those retirees who selected Option 5 "pop-up" protection. <br> - Authorized an ad hoc benefit adjustment to each member of the Mississippi Highway Safety Patrol Retirement System (MHSPRS) retired on or before July 1, 1999, in the amount of $\$ 3.50$ per month for each full fiscal year of retirement through June 30, 1999, plus $\$ 1.00$ per month for each year of service credit used in the calculation of benefits. <br> - Removed from consideration in the base COLA the requirement that the Consumer Price Index (CPI) have increased by at least $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$. <br> - Provided that a prorated portion of the annual adjustment will be paid to the beneficiary or estate of any member or beneficiary who is receiving the annual adjustment in a lump sum, but who dies between July 1 and December 1 in those cases where no more monthly benefits will be paid after the member's or beneficiary's death. This prorated portion will be equal to the amount that such recipient would have received had he or she elected to receive the annual adjustment for the year on a monthly basis. |
| July 1, 2000 | - Deleted the maximum option where no additional benefits are payable after death. The statute retains Option 9, which provides a maximum option with a $50 \%$ survivor benefit with no reduction in the member's retirement allowance. <br> - Provided for a new retirement option that would allow a member who is eligible for an unreduced retirement benefit to select a partial lump-sum option at retirement. <br> - Allowed the Cost of Living Adjustment to be calculated on all full fiscal years in retirement, not just the years since the retirant's last retirement. <br> - Provided for the same service credit for active duty, as is allowed in PERS and is no longer limited to active duty service during times of conflict. This amendment applies to all persons who have retired from the Highway Patrol and who qualify for such credit, whether they retired before or after July 1, |


| Fiscal Year <br> Beginning | Benefit Improvement |
| :--- | :--- |
| July 1, 2002 | 2000. This provision, however, did not require any back payments. <br> Changed the maximum limitation on the retirement benefit from $85 \%$ of the <br> average compensation regardless of the years of service to $100 \%$ of the <br> average compensation. |
| -Provided that Option 4-C, Social Security Leveling Option, will no longer be <br> available to members retiring on or after July 1, 2004. |  |
| -Provided that any member who has five years of service (reduced from 10 <br> years) may apply for a regular non-duty related disability retirement <br> allowance. |  |
| -Provided for a compounded COLA, based on 3\% of the retirement allowance <br> for each full fiscal year in retirement with the 3\% compounding beginning at <br> age 60; to further provide that the age at which the compounding begins will <br> be reduced gradually to age 55 as such can be accomplished without causing <br> the unfunded accrued liability amortization period to exceed 20 years; to <br> further provide that a pro-rated share of the lump-sum COLA will be paid if a <br> benefit terminates before December 1 of the fiscal year. Also, allows the <br> Board to grant a change in the manner the COLA is paid if a hardship is <br> shown. |  |
| July 1, 2004 | Conformed the MHSPRS COLA section (except for the age of compounding) <br> to the provisions in PERS. |
| July 1, 2008Eliminated the re-marriage penalty which terminates a spouse's benefit, <br> currently provided in subsections (1) and (3), upon his/her remarriage. This <br> amendment also allows those spouses whose benefits have been previously <br> terminated to apply to have the benefits reinstated prospectively. |  |
| -Allowed a retroactive effective date (up to 3 months) for retirees who revert <br> from Option 2 or Option 4A to the maximum option following the death of <br> the named beneficiary. |  |

INTEREST RATE: $8.00 \%$ per annum, compounded annually (net after all expenses).

SEPARATIONS FROM ACTIVE SERVICE: Representative values of the assumed rates of separation from active service are as follows:

| Death Annual Rate of |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Age | Male | Female | Disability* |
| 20 | . $02 \%$ | . $01 \%$ | . $04 \%$ |
| 25 | . 03 | . 02 | . 05 |
| 30 | . 04 | . 02 | . 07 |
| 35 | . 05 | . 03 | . 11 |
| 40 | . 08 | . 04 | . 17 |
| 45 | . 13 | . 06 | . 23 |
| 50 | . 24 | . 10 | . 30 |
| 55 | . 39 | . 15 | . 35 |
| 60 | . 60 | . 25 | . 40 |
| 65 | . 96 | . 43 |  |
| 70 | 1.61 | . 72 |  |

* $94 \%$ are presumed to be non-duty related, and $6 \%$ are assumed to be duty related.

WITHDRAWAL AND VESTING: $15 \%$ in an election year, none in a non-election year.
SERVICE RETIREMENT: 25\% in an election year, none in a non-election year. All members are assumed to retire no later than age 75.

It is assumed that a member will be granted 2.5 years of service credit for unused leave at termination of employment.

PRICE INFLATION: $3.50 \%$ per annum, compounded annually.
PAYROLL GROWTH: $4.25 \%$ per annum, compounded annually.
TIMING OF DECREMENTS AND PAY INCREASES: Middle of Year.

SALARY INCREASES: $4.50 \%$ per annum, for all ages. The merit and seniority component is $0.25 \%$ and the wage inflation component is $4.25 \%$.

DEATH AFTER RETIREMENT: The mortality table, for post-retirement mortality, used in evaluating allowances to be paid was the 1994 Group Annuity Mortality Table. The RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table (set back 2 years for males and set forward 3 years for females) was used for the period after disability retirement. This assumption is used to measure the probabilities of each benefit payment being made after retirement. Mortality improvement is anticipated under this assumption as recent mortality experience shows actual deaths $15 \%$ greater than expected under the selected table.

MARRIAGE ASSUMPTION: $85 \%$ married with the husband three years older than his wife.
VALUATION METHOD: The valuation is prepared on the projected benefit basis, which is used to determine the present value of each member's expected benefit payable at retirement, disability or death. The calculations are based on the member's age, years of service, sex, compensation, expected future salary increases, and an assumed future interest earnings rate (currently $8.00 \%$ ). The calculations consider the probability of a member's death or termination of employment prior to becoming eligible for a benefit and the probability of the member terminating with a service, disability, or survivor's benefit. The present value of the expected benefits payable to active members is added to the present value of the expected future payments to current benefit recipients to obtain the present value of all expected benefits payable to the present group of members and survivors.

The employer contributions required to support the benefits of SLRP are determined following a level funding approach, and consist of a normal contribution and an accrued liability contribution.

The normal contribution is determined using the "entry age normal" method. Under this method, a calculation is made for pension benefits to determine the uniform and constant percentage rate of employer contribution which, if applied to the compensation of the average new member during the entire period of his anticipated covered service, would be required in addition to the contributions of the member to meet the cost of all benefits payable on his behalf.

The unfunded accrued liability is determined by subtracting the current assets and the present value of prospective employer normal contributions and member contributions from the present value of expected benefits to be paid from the SLRP. The accrued liability contribution amortizes the balance of the unfunded accrued liability over a period of years from the valuation date.

ASSET VALUATION METHOD: Actuarial value, as developed in Schedule A. The actuarial value of assets recognizes a portion of the difference between the market value of assets and the expected market value of assets, based on the assumed valuation rate of return. The amount recognized each year is $20 \%$ of the difference between market value and expected market value.

